# ASTRONOMY

ou're wrong, go back , don't colls which and try it again.

Igo frame 1. This book was programmed by

William E. Smythm .

Mgo frame 2. This book was researched by

W. Christopher Zoper .

Ngo frame 3. Cover, diagrams and production

Ario J. Clinton .

Not frome 4. The Greatest responsibility for this book rests on the shoulders of

(a) Eric J. Clinton
 (b) G. Obria Resex
 (c) Bill E. Smythe

see from 54 oce frame 58 nee frame 50

Spe Trace 3 (4,0,0). Her samer is locarrest. Dea't bother to go back to go frame 4. to correct your names because all the others are urgan as well. Sherver we would segard that you protect to frame 1. of this book after realist the introduction. Trackyre.

True story of sel ASTREAST is more important to Science than astronauty 7

а предати на пр ASTRONOMY :

.....

Α

ŝ

P.

FROGRAMMED LEARNING

APPROACH

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the following groups for their help in the production of this book:

> LONDON BOARD OF EDUCATION MONTCALM SECONDARY SCHOOL, LONDON, ONT. OPPORTUNITIES FOR YOUTH ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY OF CANADA

First Printing , August, 1973 , London, Ontario, Canada

C Copyright 1973 by G. Chris Essex, William E. Smythe, Eric J. Clinton. I watched, with ewe, Man's celestial Exploration. Life before Was nothing more Than meagre terrestrial Occupation.

### AN INTRODUCTION TO PROJECT ZUBENELGENUBI

49h2m -15°52' arc the co-ordinates of the star Alpha Librae, found in the southern constellation of Libra, at a distance of approximately 66 light years. Conturies ago this star was named ZUBENELGENUBI, from an Arabian text; whereas, during the summer months of 1973, a group of emsteur astronomers decided to use this unusual name as the title of an astronomyoriented Opportunities For Youth (O. F. Y.) project. The project's corig-inal conception had little in common with projects that had been attempted in the past, and thus we were essentially an experimental project. We decided, in lieu of providing a direct benefit to a small number of people in one specific area of our community, as other projects had planned, that our group of three would provide an astronomy oriented, community benefitting program simed at everyone interested in learning more about Modern Astronomy and related topics through discussion groups and actual visual observations at public star nights. Our project was dosigned to provide a type of general introduction to enable the public to understand more about the neglected aspects of this science, and encourage them to take part in the feoinating pursuit of amateur astronomy.

We chose the name of the project partially because it was interesting and catchy sounding; and meanwhile we explored the idea that our motto should reflect one of the aims of our endeavour. Our motto: "Have Telescope, Will Travel".

We commenced with our project early in Nay with the first of a three pert plen. We visited a large number of students attending various Elementary and Separate Schools situated in London, and gave an illustrated talk on the Universe. The second and third parts of the project proved to be very time consuming. The book which you are now reading is a product of our hard work and effort for the remaining summer months. The third phase of the project, as I have already mentioned, was the nightly Star Wights held at various parks throughout the city.

Our hope is that Zubenalgenubi vill be remembored not only ess e double star in the night sky with an epparent magnitude (see page 73) of 2.76, but as a successful summer project whose final aim was not to die out as simply a memory, but to exist years in the future in the form of this new source book of knowledge.

> Eric Clinton Amateur Astronomer

It is indeed a feeble light that reaches us from the starry sky. But what would human thought have achieved if we could not see the stars .....?

JEAN PERRIN

#### AN INTRODUCTION TO ASTRONOMY

Go out alone in the small hours of the morning, away from the harsh lights of the city. Let the soothing darkness envelop your soul. Then stand quietly; look at the horizon, and listen. You hear silence; silence that is only marginally violated by the distant sounds of leaves whispering in the cool breze that dances pleasantly across your face. You smell the freshness of the unused air of a day yet to begin. However do not concern yourself with the day, as the Sun will not rike to banish the stars from the sky for several hours, and the night holds more joys to be experienced.

Turn your eyes now, onto the wault of the heavens above: in one short turn of the head you have gazed upon the Universe. It is filled with myriads of stars, rendonly placed, and all intensely beautiful. Your reach out as if to touch their glittering surfaces, even though you know these points of radiance are further away than you could travel in a hundred lifetimes. The only barrier botween you and them is the ultimate barrier: space. The incredible numbers, the unimaginable distances and the alien beauty all bring forth the ancient fear of the unknown. You begin to feel alone and afraid. You would run because of the fear, but you remain frozen in your upward stare; there is no place to run to, from the Universe!

Rationality returns; the fear ebbs and changes to humility, and wonder. You are completely filled with awe and curiosity. You now suffer from a painful, but wonderful affliction, that makes one went to know everything, even though one understands that one never will. The first signs of dawn are showing themselves in the east; you shiver as the cool breeze has become a cold wind. The twinkling stars overhead have but little time before the comming Sun outshines them, into oblivion.

The last few twinkling stars fade away with the rising of the Sun, as you slowly return to the "reality" of daily life. In the future you will return to this place, to stand quietly at peace, to remember, and to be hap py, while gazing upon the Universe; but for now, you are mourning the loss of your companions: the stars.

The stars will be your faithful companions for the rest of your life; you will get to know them well.

The night sky has a bewitching effect on those who would take the time to look at it, and think about it. This book is dedicated to those who do look and think, to those who appreciate the beauty of the night sky: the "afflicted". By means of the preceeding paragraphs, I have endeavoured to give the reader an answer to the question: "Why Astronomy?".

In this book we deal not only with Astronomy, but with relevant basic Physics and Mathematics; it is a good conceptual representation of the Universe for the interested beginner. Many of the concepts presented may be considered, by some, to be too complex for the beginner. However complexities are but large aggregations of simplicities. Thus, if taken step by step, as this book presents the material, complexities tend not to be so complex. Astronomy is a more complex subject than is presented here; however we believe that the beginner's astronomical knowledge will be greatly increased by the working through of this book.

In getting to know your Universe, I would suggest the practical as well as the conceptual approach; get to know the stars by name. There are many good books on the simple but enjoyable topic of constellations. When the stars are known conceptually, as well as practically, you will be a stranger to your Universe no longer.

> Chris Essex Astronomy Student

#### AN INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAMMED LEARNING

Programmed learning is presently one of the most neglected tools available to modern educators. Some of the reason for this neglect seems to be related to a misunderstanding, on the part of many educators, regarding the techniques and goals of programmed learning. It is appropriate, then, to include a discussion of some of these factors in the introduction to a book of this kind. Hopefully, this section, along with the section entitled "How to use this Book" will help the user derive the full benefit from the materials here presented.

.

lrogrammed learning has its origins in a rather well studied phenomenon in Psychology known as Operant Conditioning. This phenomenon involves a particular set of relationships that exist between the two variables stimulus and response. A stimulus can be defined as any environmental event to which a particular organism has the ability to respond, and that can be measured. It is clear that the status of a particular environmental event as a "stimulus" varies with the organism, in that the structure of receptor organs may differ from species to species. For example, sounds of frequencies in excess of 20,000 cycles per second may be regarded as stimuli, if the organism in question is a dog, but not if the organism is a human being. A response can be similarly defined as any single measurable activity that an organism produces. It is rather important to realize that any particular "response" is usually an aggregate of a series of smaller response units. For example, a response like "bar pressing" observed for a rat placed in an experimental chamber known as a "Skinner Box", might be further subdivided into the responses: "approaching the bar"; "rearing up on hind legs"; "touching the bar with fore-paws"; and "exerting a force downward on the bar". On yet a more microscopic level, this same response might be considered in terms of an even more complicated series of individual responses of nerve cells. Mence, the beginning and ending of one "response" are always arbitrary in Psychology, and are usually defined in a way that is appropriate to the kind of research work done. Mentalistic phenomena popularly associated with Psychology, such as "thoughts" and "feelings" are only quantifiable as responses when they produce reliable physical changes that can be measured. Current research methodology is extremely weak in this area. In fact, it is difficult to talk about such things, at present, in anything but a purely subjective way.

In operant conditioning, these two variables are considered in the temporal order: Response, followed by Stimulus. In other words, operant conditioning considers the case where a response is emitted which is followed, in a consistant manner, by some stimulus. Both variables affect eachother in a reciprocal fashion: The way in which the response affects the stimulus is determined by properties of the immediate environment; whereas the way in which the stimulus affects the response is determined by properties of the organism. It is the latter causal connection that will concern us here. Briefly, there are three ways in which a stimulus can affect a response: The stimulus can increase the probability that the response will be emitted in the future; it can decrease this probability; or it can leave it unchanged (in which case the stimulus is not really "affecting" the response at all). The term "reinforcement" was originated for the purpose of describing these relationships. For instance, when a stimulus increases the probability of some response, we say that the response has been positively reinforced by the stimulus, or that the stimulus has acted as a positive reinforcement. Likewise, a stimulus that acts to decrease the prob-ability of some response is known as a negative reinforcement. The lay-man's way of visualizing such concepts is to regard positive and negative

reinforcement as being analogous to reward and punishment respectively. This kind of analogy is very useful up to a point. For example, if a rat in a Skinner box presses a bar and the resulting stimulus is the presentation of food in a food magazine, the probability of the response called "bar pressing" will increase. If, instead, the resulting stimulus is a painful electric shock, administered through a grid on the floor of the Skinner box, the probability of the "bar pressing" response will decrease. It is clear that the experimental animal has been "rewarded" and "punished" in these instances. In other instances, the analogy breaks down. For example, several Psychologists have remarked that prisons tend to positively reinforce criminal behaviour. This is usually a very objective statement. What it means is that a prison term for some individual tends to increase the probability that he will perform more criminal acts after he is released. Here it is clear, in a statistical way, that the response we call "criminal behaviour" has been positively reinforced, but it is not clear that this behaviour has been "rewarded". The analogy for negative reinforcement and punishment breaks down in a similar way. Although we shall not elaborate on this point, research in the field of operant conditioning has shown that positive reinforcement is, all things considered, a more efficient way to shape behaviour than is negative reinforcement. This is an important fact to keep in mind, as "behaviour shaping" is at the centre of the activity that we call "learning".

In Psychology, learning is usually defined as any modification of behaviour that occurs as a consequence of experience. This suggests a somewhat more universal use for the term than that which is implied in ordinary conversation. For the purposes of the present discussion, we will be concerned with learning mostly as it applies to formal education. That is, we are going to consider the kind of learning that takes place in institutions whose purpose it is to increase the adaptability of individuals to future occupational situations. Pure and applied research in this area has suggested what might be enumerated as four general principles to facilitate efficient learning: (1) The amount of positive reinforcement administered during learning should be maximized; (2) This positive reinforcement should be immediate; that is, any time lag between the emission of a learned behaviour and the presentation of a positive reinforcement should be minimized; (3) Complex concepts should be broken down into smaller units and learned in a step-by-step progression, where positive reinforcement is administered for successive approximations of the final concept; (4) The rate of learning for an individual should be allowed to vary according to the extent of any relevant abilities and prior knowledge which he may possess. At this point, it should be remarked that the most effective positive reinforcement for the type of learning we are considering is simply the knowledge, on the part of the student, that he has responded correctly. Elaborate token economies of the sort that allow students special privileges for early completion of assignments etc. are usually quite unnecessary, even at the lower educational levels.

Even casual observation is sufficient to suggest that none of the above criteria is very well met by conventional educational systems. These systems, for the most part, have been refinements of older and more exploitive systems based on aversive control through the use of negative reinforcement (eg. --the birch rod). The result of the liberaligation of these older systems was to remove most of the aversive control and leave, in its place, almost ne control at all. Certainly the feasability of control through positive reinforcement has been ignored. The reason for this, perhaps, is that, not only are most teachers unaware that the efficiency of this sort of control has been empirically demonstrated; but control through portive reinforcement is a less visable and therefore less intuitively obvious form of control than is the aversive kind. The result of this **a i** is that control, when applied at all in the modern classroom, is mildly aversive in nature. Threats of poor grades, detentions, extra assignments and "visite" with the principal serve as examples of this.

When positive reinforcement occurs at all, in conventional educational situations, it is quite sporadic. In the traditional teacher-centered situation, where concepts are being introduced through a question and answer approach, the probability that any one student in an average sized classroom will be called upon is about 1/30. What this means is that, if the teacher calls upon students at a rate of two per minute, the limit for the number of positive reinforcements that can be received in a one hour session by a particular student, will be something less than 4, usually. This number will, of course, vary between students; but the bias usually operates in favour of the better student, creating a situation where "the rich get richer and the poor get poorer". The only other opportunity that students have to receive feedback on their own responses occurs when written work is evaluated. Once again, positive reinforcements are rare, as more attention is usually given to things done wrong than things done correctly. Furthermore, the great time lag between the production of written work by the student and its subsequent correction and return by the teacher is usually sufficient to significantly reduce any effect that positive reinforcement might have created. Also, the concepts to be dealt with are usually presented, learned and evaluated in large chunks. Teachers are rarely encouraged to break up what seem, to them, to be basic concepts, into smaller units. Finally, matters relating to different rates of learning for different students are handled only in a very crude way: In some schools it is still traditional to divide students up into "bright" and "dull" classes. As well-intentioned as some of these efforts are, the effect is often an unfortunate one: The "dull" students are usually perceptive enough to realize that they have been put into the "slow" class and are there-by given an added incentive to perform at sub-standard levels. Once again, a situation is created where "the rich get richer and the poor get poorer".

Programmed learning is perhaps best described as a method which attempts to make teaching more consistant with what is known, in a scientific way, about learning. Frequently programmed learning sequences constitute the software for "teaching machines". However the technique has a slightly broader application than this, the present book being only one example. In general, programmed learning attempts to break down the learning of concepts into a series of small, discrete steps. Also, a facility is provided for immediate feedback on the correctness of responses made by the learner. These goals are usually accomplished by presenting the material to be learned in a series of written "frames", each of which requires the learner to make some response and check its correctness before he proceeds on in the program. Beyond this point, programming techniques generally diverge into two different schools, each based on slightly different philosophies regarding which variables are most important in the learning process: The most popular of these -- Linear Programming -- uses frames with blanks inserted in them which the user is required to fill in and check before proceeding on in the program. Every user follows the same sequence of frames. The chief disadvantage of linear programming seems to be that it ignores individual differences amoung learners. A sequence that appears to be adequate for some learners may be either too easy or too difficult for others. This difficulty is usually overcome by producing programs only for very specific age and ability levels. This, however, is a tedious process. The other kind of programming -- Branching Programming -- attempts to direct users to

different sequences of frames according to the extent of their comprehension of material already covered. This is done by incorperating a multiple choice type question into every frame, the answer to which determines where the user then proceeds, in the program. The criticism usually applied to branching programming is that, as its strength depends on several users answering incorrectly, it shapes behaviour, in part, by negative reinforcement. This point is, perhaps, not very well taken, as it relies on the assumption that the consequences of answering incorrectly are always negatively reinforcing. Though this is certainly the case in conventional educational systems, it need not be the case in systems based on programmed learning. Another disadvantage of branching programming is that some con-

cepts are just not adaptable to a multiple choice format of questioning. This, we believe, is the more serious objection.

In the program which appears in this book, we have incorporated both linear and branching features. We are not aware, at this time, of any programs that have been produced which are similar to our's in this respect. This method, however, seemed to be a logical one, to us, for several reasons: On a practical level, we found that some concepts in Astronomy were more readily adaptable to a branching format than a linear one and vice-versa. Certainly, as we were producing the book for a rather diversified audience, a pure linear apprecach was impractical. A pure branching

format, on the other hand. was considered to bee too rigid for our purposes. On a theoretical level, we concluded that a combination of both formats would help to reduce the disadvantages present in either one used by itself. The basic structure of our program is linear, with branching frames embeded in it. Frequently associated with branching frames is a feature that we call a "corrective linear sub-program", designed to deal with incorrect answers. The presence of branching frames makes this basically linear program more adaptable to different ability levels; while the presence of corrective subprograms provides positive reinforcement for users who have made incorrect responses, and therefore overcomes



2.

3.

one of the disadvantages of a pure branching format. A typical segment of

our program is illustrated in the accompanying flow diagram: Regions 2, 3, 36, and 6 represent linear frames which are part of the main body of the program; region 4 represents a branching frame; and regions 5A, 5A2, and 5A3 represent parts of a corrective sub-program. Other features of this segment are regions 1 and 7 which are connectors that link this segment to others in the program, and region 5C, which represents an abort frame: This is simply a feature that momentarily terrinates the user's progress in the program, it appears that he has become exhausted or bored.

Other features of this program will indicate, to those knowledgable in this area, that we have not followed traditional methods of programming very closely: We have, for example, made occaisional use of lengthy linear frames which are usually discouraged in programmed learning. This has been done mostly for the purpose of breaking the monotony of our format by inserting facts that the user is not specifically requested to recall. We have also taken advantage of the fact that we were producing a book and not a program for a teaching machine, by encouraging the user to go back to previous frames to retrieve various pieces of information that he may have forgotten. Finally, we have (though I hesitate to use the word) "invented" what we refer to as "data frames" for the purpose of providing pieces of numerical information that are usefull at various points in the program. It is our hope that these features, taken together, will make the book somewhat more "readable" than it would otherwise be.

The last thing that should be pointed out, in this introduction, is that studies of the merits of programmed learning systems as compared to conventional systems, have, so far, yielded conflicting results. This suggests that proper controls have not been used in these investigations. Certainly, further studies of this matter are required. Even in the absence of this sort of evidence, however, there are several factors that suggest the feasability of an educational system based on programmed learning: One of these is the problem of evaluation. In conventional systems, evaluations are usually so imprecise, that they can only determine student progress in a very crude way. If a mark like 60%, for example, indicates that a student has learned 60% of the work, there is nothing to say which 60% it is that he knows. When a "pass -- fail" analysis is applied to this mark, one of two decisions is then made: Either the student is allowed to proceed to the next level of difficulty in the subject matter, or his progress is set back one year. Which of these options is pursued is determined by an arbitrary "cut-off" level which, for the sake of argument, is called a "passing grade". In primary and secondary levels of education, cut-off levels are typically quite low. This creates a certain amount of redundancy in the teaching process due to the excessive amounts of "review" that are made necessary from year to year. At the university level, this problem is usually dealt with by raising cut-off levels substantially. This is done, however, at the expense of dissapointing a number of worthwhile candidates who find themselves the victims of "bad fortune". In programmed learning, evaluations are a good deal more precise than this. The cumulative record that the student produces while working through programmed materials is quite sufficient to indicate, in a concise way, where his strengths and weaknesses lie. Furthermore, in highly efficient programs, the mere position of the student in the sequence can serve to adequately indicate his level of mastery of the subject matter.

If, as some people would like to claim, programmed learning systems are proven to be no more effective than conventional systems, then only one of two possible conclusions can be made: Zither the scientific assumptions on which programmed learning is based, are incorrect (a possibility which, at

24

this point, must be considered unlikely), or more work has to be done to refine this technique for use in practical situations. In either case, the conclusion is an important one. Hopefully, the present book will, in some way, demonstrate the effectiveness of this technique.

> Bill Smythe Psychology Student

## HOW TO USE THIS BOOK

This is a programmed book. To derive the full benefit from its contents, you should be prepared to work through it. It is not "read" in the way that most books you are familiar with are.

The material in this book is organized into a series of "frames" which are marked off by horizontal lines and numbered consecutively throughout. Each frame will require you to make some response. Usually you will be asked to fill in a blank with some appropriate word, phrase or number. The method that we recommend for working through frames such as this is to take of paper or cardboard and cover up all the material below a piece the frame you are considering. When you have filled in the blank in question with what you believe to be the appropriate response, then you may slide the paper down the page to reveal the correct answer to the blank and then the next frame. Answers appear in capital letters directly below the lines which are used to mark off frames. Another kind of frame that you will come across has a multiple choice question incorporated into it. Here you simply choose the option that you believe to be the most appropriate. then proceed to the frame refered to alongside the option you have chosen. To find this frame it is a good idea to cover up all the material to the right of the frame numbers and then proceed until you find the appropriate number. When you have done this, proceed with the material in that frame, covering up any material which may appear either above or below it. You may want to keep a record of all your responses. This can be done by writing them down on a pad of paper along with the accompanying frame numbers. This same pad of paper might also be used to calculate the correct answers to numerical problems presented in the book.

For users whose acquaintance with the subject of Astronomy has not been great, most of the concepts presented in this book will be quite new. A more mathematically-oriented topic that appears in this book might, however, be familiar to several users: this is the topic <u>Scientific Netation</u>. For users who believe themselves to be knowledgable on this topic, you may save yourself some time, as you work through the program if, when you reach frame 195, you immediately proceed to frames 265, 274 and 444. If you are able to do each of the questions presented in these frames correctly, than you may proceed to frame 277 and continue from there.

Finally, it should be pointed out that programmed learning, though enjoyable, is not necessarily "easy". You should be prepared to consider the material presented here, in some detail. However, things like incorrect answers should not cause you any anxiety: In this program, you sometimes stand to learn quite a bit by answering incorrectly. To reduce the probability that you will answer incorrectly, however, you would be well advised to read the material presented very carefully and to, when "stuck" on a question, review material in previous frames to help you out.

We hope that you are successful in this learning experience.

 In the first segment of this program we are going to teach you something about Astronomical distances. To talk about these distances in terms of units you are familiar with (miles, kilometers) would involve numbers that are much too large. Obviously, then, these units are much too (large, small) to be conveniant for Astronomers.

# 2. For example, the distance from our solar system to the next nearest star is 25,200,000,000 miles. This number is much too (large/small) to be very conveniant. Hence the unit "miles" is too (large/small) for our purposes.

LARGE: SMALL

SMALL

3. Some units that Astronomers use for distance are based on the speed of light. To understand these it is necessary for you to realize that light has a speed. Light travels at a rate of 186,000 miles per second. This means that, in one second, light would travel a distance of (how many?) miles.

186,000

4. In one minute, light would travel 186,000 X miles.

60

5. In one hour, light would travel 186,000 X 60 X miles.

60

200

5. In one day, light would travel 186,000X X X miles.

60; 60; 24

 In one year, light would travel 186.000 X miles (use multiplication signs).

60 X 60 X 24 X 365

This means that miles is the distance that light travels in one year (use a mathematical expression).

186,000 x 60 x 60 x 24 x 365

9. To understand how units for distance are derived out of a speed, you should know how the concepts of distance, time, and speed relate to eachbther. If 'v' represents apeed, 'd' represents distance, and 't' represents time, then which of the following is correct?

(a) v = t/d see frame 10A (b) v = d/t see frame 10B (c) v = dXt see frame 10C

-1-

10	Your answer: $v = t/d$ is incorrect. You seem to have it backwards. Suppose you were to travel from Lon- don to Toronto (a distance of 120 miles) in 2 hours. This would mean that, on the average, you would have travelled at a speed of 60 miles per hour <sup>8</sup> . 60(v) is 120(d) divided by(t).
2	"I for example, the tistuing from our wills spring to the used interest
1042	. So then, speed(v) is given by divided by and the expression v= d/t is (correct/incorrect)
DIST	ANCE TIME; CORRECT
10A3	Now go back to frame 9 and choose the correct answer. Your answer: w = d/t is correct. Your are to be congratulated on your perceptiveness. Re-arranging
	this expression to derive an expression for distance would give us which of the following?
-	(a) $d = v X t$ , see frame 11A (b) $d = v/t$ see frame 11B (c) $d = t/v$ see frame 11C
100.	Your answer: $v = d X t$ is incorrect. To derive the correct answer, let us suppose that we are on an imm- aginary trip from London to Toronto (a distance of 120 miles) that takes us 2 hours tc co plete. This means that, on the average, we would have been travelling at a speed of (how many?) miles per hour.
60	T Physics Jacked Lines Total American and
1002.	. 60(v) is 120(d) divided by (t).
2	Proceed now to frame 10A2.
IJA.	Your answer: d = v X t is correct. Recall that(expression) is the dis-
186,0	00 X 60 X 60 X 24 X 365 MILES Proceed, now, to frame 12
118.	Your answer: $d = v/t$ is incorrect. To derive an expression for d, out of the expression $v = d/t$ , we must multiply both sides by a common letter so that d remains by itself on one side. If we multiply the right side by the letter , d now stands by itself.

-2-

11B2. We have multiplied the right side by t. This gives us d/t X t = d. To keep the expression equal, we must multiply the left side by the letter as well. This gives us

t; vXt

÷.

11B3. We now have, in our equation, \_\_\_\_ on the right side, and \_\_\_\_\_ on the left side.

d; vXt

11B4. We can now say, then, that d =

vXt

11B5. Now go back to frame 10B and choose the correct answer.

11C. Your answer: d = t/v

is incorrect.

To derive an expression for d, out of the expression v = d/t, we must multiply both sides by a common letter so that d remains by itself on one side. If we multiply the right side by the letter \_\_\_\_\_, d now stands by 'itself.

t Now proceed to frame 11B2

 This distance is known as a <u>Light Year</u>. A light year is a measure of (time/speed/distance)

DISTANCE

13. A light year is, in fact, the distance that light travels in (what time period?)

ONE YEAR

14. Recall that distance is related to time and speed by the expression  $d \ = \$ 

vXt

15. The source of the terminology "Light Year" should now be clear: This distance equals the speed of <u>light(v)</u> multiplied by a time period of one year (t). Out of this, we derive the term: Light Year. A light year is (defn.)

THE DISTANCE THAT LIGHT TRAVELS IN ONE YEAR

76. Suppose, now, that a certain star happens to be 10 light years away from us. What this means is that the light we see from that star took (how long?) to reach us.

	and the second sec
17.	In other words, the light we see from that star is (how many?) years old. We are seeing the star, then, as it appeared (how long?) ago.
10;	10 YEARS
18.	From what you learned in frame 17, would you now say that, whenever you look at stars in the night sky, you are looking back into time?
	<ul> <li>(a) Yes see frame 19A.</li> <li>(b) No see frame 19B.</li> <li>(c) Not Necessarily see frame 19C.</li> <li>(d) Yes, except if your great aunt has post-masal drip. see frame 19D.</li> </ul>
194.	Your answer: Yes is correct. This is a very astute observation on your part. Suppose, now, that you were to take an immaginary trip to that same star (10 light years away) at 18.6 miles per second (recalling that the speed of light is
.9B .	Your answer: No is incorrect. We have already pointed out that the light from a particular star in ten years old. Most starlight is a good deal older than this. Go back to frame 18 and think some more about this concept. Then choos a better answer.
90.	Your answer: Not Necessarily is incorrect. We have pointed out that the light from a certain star is ten years old. Most starlight is a good deal more ancient than this. Why, then, did you say that looking at the stars was "not necessarily" looking back into time? Go back to frame 18, think about this con- cept some more, and then choose a better answer.
<b>9</b> D.	Your answer: Yes, except if your great aunv'fas between drip indicates not so much a lack of understanding as a feeling of exhaustion on your part. In fact, you have unwittingly stumbled upo an abort frame. You are advised to set the book down for a while. When you feel properly refreshed, we suggest that you go back to frame 18 and select a better answer.
0.1	Would you estimate that 18.6 miles per second is a fast speed to be travelling, compared to present speeds at which man is able to travel (a) Yes see frame 21A

-1-

.

21B. Your answer: No; is incorrect. Go back and try again.

21A. Your answer: Yes

is correct.

18.6 miles per second is, indeed, a fast speed to be travelling. In fact, this is almost four times as fast as man is able to travel at present. On this basis, would you now say that interstellar (between stars) travel is, at present:

(a) Feasable see frame 22A.
(b) Out of the question see frame 22B.

22A. Your answer: Feasable

is incorrect.

Come, come now!! Unless you expect to live to a ripe old age of well over 100,000 years, you could not, yourself, visit even some of the nearest stars at present. Go back and choose the correct answer.

22B; Your answer: Out of the question

is correct.

You have realized that, to travel to even the nearest stars at present speeds, would involve a journey of such length that you would find yourself long dead on arrival. Would you now think that it is possible to measure short distances (distances you are familiar with) in light years?

(a)	Yes	see	frame	23A
(b)	No	see	frame	23B

```
23A. Your answer: Yes
```

is correct.

You have realized that, although it is inconveniant to measure small distances with such a large unit, it is, just the same, quite possible. To give you an example: suppose that the grocery store is one half mile away from your home. If there are, approximately 6,000,000,000,000 miles in one light year, how many light years would you have to travel to go there to do some shopping?

(a) 1/3,000,000,000,000 light years	see	frame	24A.	
(b) 1/12,000,000,000,000 light years	see	frame	24B	
(c) 3,000,000,000,000 light years	see	frame	24C	
(d) ½ mile	seê	frame	24D	

#### 23B. Your answer: No

is incorrect.

You have been confused by the fact that, although measuring small distances in light years would be extremely inconveniant due to the awkward fractions involved, it is, never the less, quite possible, since a light year is a unit of distance like an inch or a kilometer. Go back, now, to frame 228 and select the correct answer.

24A. Your answer: 1/3,000,000,000,000 light years

is incorrect.

It is clear, however, that you have the right idea. Let us construct the correct answer: 6,000,000,000 miles makes up one light year. Therefore 1 mile makes up (fraction)

light years. 176,000,000,000,000 24A2. We are talking, however, of a distance of one half mile. ½ X 1/6,000,000,000 = \_\_\_\_\_\_

1712,000,000,000,000

1/12,000,000,000,000

24A4. Now go back to frame 23A and choose the correct answer.

24B. Your answer: 1/12,000,000,000,000 light years

is correct.

You are to be commended on your well thought out arithmetic (if you got the first time). What we have pointed out here, then, is that the light year is indeed a measure of (time, speed, distance) ; and there is nothing particularly mysterious

about it.

DISTANCE

#### Proceed, now, to frame 25

24C. Your answer: 3,000,000,000,000 light years

is incorrect.

You have failed to notice that your answer is totally unrealistic. To travel 3,000,000,000,000 light years to the grocery store would be to take a very indirect route. What is more -- you would not live long enough to wolk even a very small fraction of this distance. Go back to frame 23A and choose a better answer.

#### 24D. Your answer: ½ mile is incorrect. You have used the wrong units: We asked for the distance in light years, and you gave it in miles. Go back to frame 23A and choose a better answer.

25. In review of the concepts you have learned, so for, a light year is (defn.)

THE DISTANCE THAT LIGHT TRAVELS IN ONE YEAR .

 This distance is equivalent to (expression) miles.

186,000 X 60 X 60 X 24 X 365

27. The terminology "Light Year" comes from an expression for distance (d)" in terms of speed (v) and time (t). This expression is:

d = v X t

28. Most importantly, the unit "Light Year" is based on the speed of which travels at a rate of

-6-

LIGHT; 186,000 MILES PER SECOND

29. The light year is not the only unit that Astronomers use to measure astronomical distances. Two other units which are used are known as the Astronomical Unit (A.U.) and the Farallax Second (Parsec). The Astronomical Unit (A.U.) and the Farallax Second (Parsec) are units of

DISTANCE

30. Parsec is derived from an abbreviation of \_\_\_\_\_; and \_\_\_\_; and \_\_\_\_.

PARALLAX SECOND; ASTRONOMICAL UNIT

31. Three units that Astronomers use for distance are:

IIGHT YEAR; A.U. or ASTRONOMICAL UNIT; PARSEC or PARALLAX SECOND

32. An Astronomical Unit is simply the average distance from the Earth to the Sun. This means that a trip from our planet to the sun would involve a distance of (how many?) A.ULE)

ONE

33. One A.U. is equal to 92,957,000 miles. This is the average distance from to

THE EARTH; THE SUN

34. One Astronomical unit is (larger/smaller) than one light year. A good definition for an Astronomical Unit would be that it is

SMALLER; THE AVERAGE DISTANCE FROM THE EARTH TO THE SUN

35. The unit Parsec is a little more complicated. It involves something called <u>parallax</u>. An understanding of parallax will help us understand the unit which we call the

PARSEC

36. So now we will attempt to explain the parsec by explaining something called

PARALLAX

37. First, we would like you to try an experiment: Take a pencil (or some similar sort of object) and hold it at arms length. Close one eye. Notice where objects in the background appear to be relative to the pencil. Now close the eye you were using and open the other one. When you do this, you will notice that appear(s) to have shifted, but \_\_\_\_\_\_ appear(s) to stay stationary. THE PENCIL; OBJECTS IN THE BACKGROUND

38. Since (hopefully) you did not move the pencil yourself during the experiment, this shifting is not real but apparent. Parallax, then, has to do with \_\_\_\_\_\_ movement.

APPARENT

39. The reason for this apparent shift has to do with the fact that there is a significant distance between your two \_yes. Thus, when you change from one eye to the other, you are actually observing the pencil from two different

FOSITIONS or LOCATIONS

40. Parallax, then, can be defined as the apparent shift against some background that an object undergoes when it is observed from two different

POSITIONS or LOCATIONS

41. Another property of Parallax can be demonstrated by another variation of the same experiment: Take the same pencil and move it closer to you than arms length. Now repeat the experiment outlined in frame 37. The apparent shift is now (greater/less) \_\_\_\_\_\_ than that which was observed in the previous experiment.

GREATER

42. This suggests very conveniant generalization: The farther away an object is, the (greater/less) will be the apparent shirt against some background when it is observed from two different

LESS

43. It is clear, then, how purallax can be used to find distances: The distance to an object may be found by measuring the it undergoes when viewed from two different

APPARENT SHIFT; POSITIONS

44. Distant objects will undergo (much/little) apparent shifts\_ apparent shifting.

LITTLE; MUCH

15.Before we go on to explain the Parece, you should recall the definition of Parallex which is the \_\_\_\_\_\_ that an object undergoes against a \_\_\_\_\_\_ when it is viewed from two different

APPARENT SHIFTING; BACKGROUND; POSITIONS

- 180
- 47. Each degree is further divided into 60 units called minutes, each of which is divided into 60 units called seconds. So, the order of these units from smallest to large t is: \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_,

SECONDS; MINUTES; DECREES

28. (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ seconds make up one minute, (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ minutes make up one degree, and (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ degrees make up the Celestial Sphere, (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ of which we are able to see at one time.

60; 60; 360; 180

49. On the basis of what you have learned so far, how many seconds around is the part of the sky (celestial sphere) that you are able to see at one time. (a) 60 seconds see frame 50A (b) 1,296,000 seconds see frame 50B (c) 648,000 seconds see frame 50C (d) 3600 seconds see frame 50D (e) 72 days see frame 50E

50A. Your answer: 60 seconds

is incorrect. What you have given is the number of seconds in one minute. This is not what was asked for. Let us develop the correct answer: You already know that there are 60 seconds in one minute, (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_inites in one degree and (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_degrees in the Celestial Sphere, (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ of which can be seen at one time.

60; 360; 180

50A2. So, the number of seconds in one degree is given by 60 X =

60; 3600

50A3. This number should now be multiplied by the number of degrees in helf the Celestial Sphere which is (how mony?) \_\_\_\_\_. This gives us \_\_\_\_\_X \_\_\_ = \_\_\_X

180; 3600; 648,000 50A4. Now go back to frame 49 and choose the correct answer. 50B. Your answer: 1,296,000 seconds is incorrect. You are guite close, however: What you have given is the number of seconds in the Celestial Sphere, colculated by the expression 60 X 60 X 360 = 1,296,000. The amount of sky that you can see at any one time, however, is equal to only half of the Celestial Sphere. Therefore, you must divide the answer you gave by \_\_\_\_\_ to get the correct answer, This gives you (how many?) seconds. 2; 648,000. 50B2. Now go back to frame 49 and choose the correct answer. 50C. Your answer: 648,000 seconds is correct. If you got this answer on the first attempt, congratulations are in order for the accuracy of your numerical reasoning. You will recall that our purpose in developing the concepts of parallax and seconds of arc was to explain the unit known as the PARSEC Proceed, now, to frame 51 50D. Your answer: 3600 seconds is incorrect. What you have given is the number of seconds in one degree calculated by the expression X = 3600. 60; 60 Proceed, now, to frame 50A3 50E. Your answer: days is incorrect. This answer is meaningless because the unit "days" is totally inappropriate. We want an answer in tarms of seconds of arc. Go back to frame 49 and select a better answer. 51. You will remember that parallax is defined as and that the observable sky is divided into (how many?) seconds of arc. THE APPARENT SHIFTING THAT AN OBJECT UNDERGOES AGAINST A BACKGROUND WHEN IT IS VIEWED FROM TWO DIFFERENT LOCATIONS: 648.000

52. We now have most of the tools we need to measure distances to stars, using porollax. We have a background against which to observe apparent shifting (the distant stars) and a way to measure the extent of this shifting (in seconds of arc). All that we need to do now is to observe the star from two different locations, separated by some convenient \_\_\_\_\_\_, to observe a parallax effect.

#### DISTANCE

53. The distance that we are talking about (called a "beseline") has to be large if we wish to observe a parallax effect with the nearer stars. The distance between your eyes, for instance, is much too small. The beseline that is used to define a parsee is the average distance from the Earth to the Sum which, you will recall, is called o(n)

#### ASTRONOMICAL UNIT (A.U.)

54. A parsec, in fact, is defined as the distance at which an object would have to be to appear to have shifted ome second of arc when observed from two different positions, separated by a distance of one A,U, at right angles to the distance to the object. The diagram below will make this clear (fill in the blanks).



#### 1. 1 A.U.; 2. 1 PARSEC; 3. 1 SECOND OF ARC

- 55. It is not possible or, at least, convenient to observe the stor in question from Earth, and then have your friend travel one Astronomical Unit out into space to observe it again, so that you can determine its parallax. A better idea would be to observe the stor as it appears now, then with thalf a year to observe it again when the Earth is on the other side of its orbit. This would make the distance between the two locations from which you viewed the stor equal to (approximately how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ Astronomical Units.
- 56. If you went through this procedure and found that the star in question had a parallax of 2 seconds of arc, you would be able to conclude that it was (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_parsec(s) away.

57. 411 of the stars that we have parallax measurements for show less apparent shifting than the hypothetical star which we considered in frame 56. This means that all of these stars are:

(a) more distant than one parsec see frame 58A (b) less distant than one parsec see frame 58B

584	Your onswer: More distant than one parsec
	No hove remembered that the less apparent shifting there is, the more distant the object in question will be. For example, if we reparted the procedure outlined in frame 56, and found a prrallex of one second of arc, we would now conclude that the star in question was (how many?) parsec(s) distant.
2;	Proceed, now, to frame 59
581	Your answer: Less distant then one parsec is incorrect. You have forgotten that the less apparent shifting there is, the more distant the object in question will be. If you are still uncer- tain about this, return to frame 42 and review the material present- ed there. Then go back to frame 57 and select the correct answer.
59.	Before we complete our discussion on Astronomical distances, it is important that we manipulate some relationships that exist between the units we have talked about so for: For example, 1 parsec is equal to 3.26 light years. Let us suppose that there are two extra- terrestrial beings named Ralph and Sam who are interested in sched- ualing an interstellar picnic. Ralph and Sam live on star systems which are 100 parsecs opart. Ralph finds it necessary to signal Sam to initiate preparations for the picnic. If Ralph's signal travels at the speed of light, how long would it take from the time the mes- sage was sent, for it to reach Sam?
	(a) 3.26 hours       ' see frame 60A         (b) 100 years       see frame 60B         (c) 3.260 years       see frame 60C         (d) 1.000 light years       wee frame 60D         (e) 326 years       see frame 60D
60A.	Your onswer: 3.26 hours is very unrealistic. The time period we are talking about will be much greater than this. Go back to frome 59 and select a better answer.
60B.	Your answer: 100 years is incorrect. The onser would be 100 years if Ralph and Sam lived 100 light years apart, however they live 100 <u>parsecs</u> apart, and a parsec is not the same as a light year. A parsec is equal to 3.26 light years. Go back to frame 59 and select a batter answer.

-12-

60C. Your answer: 3,260 years is incorrect. You are reasonably close, however, as your enswer is only out by a factor of 10. You recall that 1 parsec = 3.26 light years and that Relph and Sam live 100 parsecs apart. Go back to frame 59 and select a better answer. 60D. Your answer: 1,000 light years is incorrect. You have used the wrong units. The light year is a unit of distance. The answer that is required is a time period. Go back to frame 59 and select a better answer. 60E. Your answer: 326 years is correct. From this, we would have to conclude that Ralph and Som have relatively lifetimes. LONG Proceed; now, to frame 61 61. 1 light year is equal to 63,200 astronomical units. Given that the solar system is 80 astronomical units across, and that the nearest stor to our system is about 4 light years away, how many solar systems could we fit between the sun and this star? (a) 252,800 see frome 62A (b) 3,160 (o) 31,600 see frame 62B see frome 62C (d) 790 see frame 62D 624. Your answer: 252,800 is incorrect. What you have calculated is the number of Astronomical units to the nearest stor (4 X 63,200). Our solar system, however, is 80 A.U.s across. So, to find how many solar systems you could put in that distance, you must divide your answer by \_\_\_\_\_. 80 62A2. This now gives you divided by which equals 252,800; 80; 3,160 62A3. Now go back to frame 61 and choose the correct answer. 62B. Your answer: 3,160 is correct. You have done well if you got this onswer on the first attempt. In any event, this answer should indicate to you that the stars are rather widely spaced. We will return to such matters later in the program. At this point, however, it is time for review: All through this part of the program we have been discussing -13-

ASTR	DNOMICAL DISTANCES Proceed, now, to frame 63
620.	Your answer: 31,600 is incorrect. You have the right idea, but yau are out by a factor of tem. Let us develop the correct enswor: The number of A.U.s in one light year equals 63,200, and the number of light years from our solar system
	to the next nearest star is 4. Therefore, the number of Astronom- ical units from our Sun to the next nearest star is X
63,0	00; 4; 252,800
6202	. The solar system is 80 A.U.s across. Therefore we must divide the answer in the previous frame by $\_$ to find the number of solar systems that would fit in this distance.
80	Proceed, now, to frame 62A2
62D.	Your answer: 790
	What you have calculated is the number of solar systems that would fit, and to end, along the distance of one light year (63,200 div- idea by 80). However the distance to the nearest star is 4 light- years. Therefore, to got the correct answer, you must multiply this answer by
4	- A
6202	. This gives you X =
790;	4; 3,160
62D3	. Now go back to frame 61 and choose the correct enswer.
63.	You will recall that we defined the light year as
THE	DISTANCE THAT LIGHT TRAVELS IN OME YEAR
64.	The Astronomical Unit (A.U.) is defined as
THE	AVERAGE DISTANCE FROM THE EARTH TO THE SUN
65.	The Parsec (or Parallax Second) is defined as
THE SHIF SEPA TO T	DISTANCE AT WHICH AF ORDERT WOULD HAVE TO BE TO APPEAR TO HAVE TED ONE SECOND OF ARC MEN OBSERVED FROM TWO DIFFERENT POSITIONS, RATED BY A DISTANCE OF ONE A.U. AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE DISTANCE HE OBJECT.

66. The diagram below can be labelled in the following way, to illustrate the concept of the Parseo.



- 67. You have finished the segment of this program on Astronomical distances. If you have not taken a break yet, we suggest that you do so before continuing on in the program.
- 68. This segment of the program will concern itself with the Solar system. The solar system consists, principelly, of the nearest star to us (the Sun), our own planet, eight other planets end an asteroid belt between the orbits of Jupiter and Mars. We are not at present, of the existance of any other planetary systems, although there is no reason to assume that such systems do not exist. Each of the planets in our system has a unique average distance from the Sun. These distances are best expressed in terms of units based on the speed of light. One such unit with which you are already familiar is known as the

LIGHT YEAR

69. Light travels at a speed of

186,000 MILES/SEC.

70. You will remember that a light year is defined as

THE DISTANCE THAT LIGHT TRAVELS IN ONE YEAR

71. If we were to consider a new unit, which is defined as the distance that light travels in one second, a good name for this unit would be the "light ".

SECOND

72. The length of this unit (in miles) would be

186,000 MILES

73. Another unit called the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is defined as the distance that light travels in one minute.

LIGHT MINUTE

74. You will recall, from an earlier frame in the program, that this distance is X miles in length.

186,000; 60

75. The distances from the Sun to some of the planets in our solar system can be expressed, conveniantly, in terms of light minutes. For example, our own planet is, on the average, 8 light minutes away from the sun. This is equivalent to (how many?) Astronomical Units.

ONE

76. In fact, the would also be a conveniant unit to use, when discussing distances to planets.

ASTRONOMICAL UNIT (A.U.)

?7. From the content of frame 7.5, you should be able to conclude that 1 A.U. = \_\_\_\_\_ light minutes (approximately).

8

78. The planet Mercury is about 3 light minutes away from the sun. This distance, in A.U.s., is (how much?) (approximately)

3/8 or .375 A.U.s

79. The planet Mars is 1% (or 1.5) A.U.s away from the sun. How long, then, would a trip from Earth to Nars take, on the average, when the Earth is situated on a straight line between the sun and Mars (as shown in the diagram), if you were travelling at the speed of light?



80A. Your answer: 4 minutes is correct. Another unit for distance (from the speed of light) based, this time, on hours instead of minutes, is called the \_\_\_\_\_ and is defined as LIGHT HOUR; THE DISTANCE THAT LIGHT TRAVELS IN ONE HOUR Proceed, now, to frame 81 80B. Your answer: 12 years is incorrect. Take a look at your units. We are considering units expressed in small numbers of light minutes ... This means that, travelling at the speed of light, it should take you much less than 12 years to cross. such distances. Go back to frame 29 and choose a better answer. 80C, Your answer: A.U.s is incorrect. We asked for an answer that consists of a time period, and you gave us a distance. However you do have part of the answer: ½A.U.s is the average distance from the Earth to Mars when the two planets are situated as shown in the diagram on frame 79. You will-recall that there are (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ light minutes in one Astronomical Unit. 8 80C2. Therefore, a distance of A.U.s would be equal to \_\_\_\_\_ = light minutes. No. 8: 4 8003. So, then, to travel this distance at the speed of light would take you (how long?) 8004. Go back, now, to frame 79 and select the correct answer. 80D. Your answer: 12 minutes is incorrect. what you have calculated is the time required to take a trip at the same speed (the speed of light) from the Sun to Mars. This was not what was asked for. You will recall that the Earth is (how many?) light minutes away from the Sun. 80D2. So, to derive the correct answer, you must subtract from your answer. 8 MINUTES 80D3. This leaves - minutes. 2: 0; 4 -1780D4. Go back, now, to frame 79 and choose the correct answer.

Cop 17
out. four answer: 55,000,000 miles
is incorrect.
The units you have used are inappropriate. The answer that was as-
ked for was a time period. You responded with a distance. Go back
to frame 79 and choose a better answer.
and the second se
51. Average distances beyond Jupiter are best discussed in terms of light
hours. One light hour, naturally, is equivalent to (how many?)
light minutes.
and the part of the second sec
40. Is additioned a bar when all
and a second
82. The planet Saturn (next furthest out beyond Jupiter) is, for example,
about 80 light minutes away from the Sun. This distance, in light
hours, is
and the second se
1 1/3 or 1.33 LIGHT HOURS
and the second s
83. This means that sunlight takes (how long?) to travel
from the surface of the Sun to Saturn.
a transferred as not an automa finds and the second as the second
1.1/3 HOURS or 80 MINUTES
and the second
.84. The planet Pluto (the farthest planet out in our system, to our know-
ledge) is, on the average, 5% light hours away from the Sun. This
means that our solar system has an average diameter (or distance
across) of
11, LIGHT HOURS-
the second se
85. In the late 1700's, a German Astronomer by the name of Johann Bode
made famous a law which it seemed allowed him to describe anothing

and the fact for s, a derman astronomer by the name of Johann Bode made famous a law which, it seemed, allowed him to describe something about the orbits of the planets. Laws, in Science, are typically named after those who were associated with them. Therefore, we would suspect that this law that Bode made famous would be called 's law.

BODE

86. Bode's law begins by taking the series of numbers 0,3,6,12,... doubling the last number each time to obtain the next one. The next four numbers in this series will be \_\_\_\_\_\_.

24; 48; 96; 192

87. The number 4 is now added to each term in the series. When we do this to the eight term series which we developed in frame 86, the result is the series:

4, 7, 10, 16, 28, 52, 100, 196...

88. The final thing that is done is to divide each term in this new series by 10. This leaves us with the series: 0.4, 0.7, 1.0, 1.6, 2.8, 5.2, 10.0, 19.6 ....

69. The series that we have been developing can also be generated by the following Mathematical Expression:  $a = 0.4 + 0.3 \times 2^n$  where "2<sup>n</sup>" means "n 2's multiplied together". For example,  $2^3 =$ 

2; 2; 2; 8

90. The "a" in the expression are 0.5 + 0.5 X 2<sup>n</sup> can be any term of the series we have been talking about, depending on what number we let "n" be equal to. For example, if we let "n" equal 1, "a" would equal \_\_\_\_\_ (fint: 21 = 2)

1.0

92.

91. This just happens to be the distance from the Sun to the Farth in Astronomical units. Frame 92, a data frame, presents some more interesting results from the expression a =

 $0.4 + 0.3 \times 2^{n}$ 

DATA FRAME ON BODE'S LAW:

Planet		ñ	a	Actual Distance
1		-	-	(from the Sun, in A.U.S)
Earth		1	1.0	.1.00
Mars		2	1.6	1.52
Ceres (Minor "pla Asteroid b	net" in welt)	3	2.8	2.77
Jupite	r	4	5.2	5.20
Saturn		5	10.0	9.52
Uranus		6	19.6	19.18

After you have examined the contents of the above table, proceed to frame 93.

93. You noticed, when you examined the table in frame 92, that the values for "a" and those for "Actual Distance" are almost

EQUAL or THE SAME

94. What can you conclude from this data?

(a) Bode's law adequately describes the distances of all the bodies in the solar system. see frame 95A.
(b) Bode's law accounts for the structure of the solar system. see frame 95B.
(c) Cne can conclude very little from this data. see frame 95C.
(d) Both (a) and (b) see frame 95D.
(e) "The Queen to me a royal pain doth give" see frame 95E.

954. Your answer: Bode's law adequately describes the distances of all the bodies in the solar system is incorrect. See frame 95A2 for the explanation.

9582.In the first place, the table in frame 92 contains data on only some of the planets -- those for which Bode's law seems to work quite well. In fact, Bode's law completely fails to account for the distances to Neptune and Pluto unless you ignore Neptune and consider Pluto to be the eighth planet. Secondly, Bode's law is an Emmirical law. This means that it has been contrived to explain data that are already known. It is not known to be based on any physical properties, and it, therefore, has no ability to predict. Therefore Bode's law can not be considered to be a usefull scientific law because it can not

PREDICT Proceed, now to frame 94 and select a better answer.

99B. Your answer: Bode's law accounts for the structure of the solar system is incorrect.

See frame 95H2for the explanation.

9582. Mathematics, by itself, never "accounts for" anything, although it can sometimes be used as a reflection of physical processes. To find out why Bode's law fails in this respect, proceed to frame 9582.

996. Your answer: One can conclude very little from this data is correct. You have realized that, not only was the table in frame 92 incomplete (it did not include all the planets, and, in fact, Bode's law does not "work" for all the planets), but a law that is contrived to explain facts that are already known (called an <u>empirical</u> law) does not necessarily predict facts which are not known. A usefull scientific law should have the power to

PREDICT	Proceed, now, to frame 96	
95D. Your answer: is incorrect.	Both (a) and (b)	
See frame 95B.	2 for the explanation.	

-20-

95E. Your Answer: "The Queen to me a royal pain doth give" is incorrect.

You have given us the title of a famous P.D.Q. Bach Madrigal. Unfortunately this was not what was asked for. In fact, the incorrectness of your answer is only exceeded by its frivolousness. In short you have come to another abort frame. You are advised to set the book down momentarily and take a break. When you feel refreshed once more, we suggest that you go back to frame 94 and continue on in the program.

96. A law that is contrived to explain facts that are already known is called a(n) law.

#### EMPIRICAL

97. We are now going to introduce you to a scientific law that is, on the other hand, quite usefull in Astronomy. This law is known as Kepler's Third law. This law was developed by a man by the name of Johannes (last name) (1571 - 1630).

KEPLER

98. Kepler's third law is usefull because, as with all good scientific laws, it is based on facts which are not known.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES; FREDICT or DERIVE

#### GRAVITY

100. Any two objects (or bodies) in the universe exert a gravitational attraction on each other-ie.-The force of gravity acts on the two bodies to bring them together. However, the effect of this is not always observable because the strength of this force which we is affected by the weights (or masses) of the bodies and the distance between them.

GRAVITY

GRAVITATIONAL; DISTANCE; MASSES

102. The force of gravity is related to mass in the following way: The greater the product of the masses (one mass multiplied by the other) the greater the force of gravity. For example, if we were able to observe the effect of the gravitational attraction between two bodies and were then able to increase the mass of one of the bodies by 3 times, the new force of Gravity between them would now be \_\_\_\_\_ times as great as it was previously.

>	
103.	Distance and Gravity are related in a different way: If you increase
	the distance two objects in question by "n" times, then the force of
	Gravity between them decreases to one "n2" times as much as it was
	previously. Where n means, as you will recall,

nXn

104. For example, if we made the distance between the objects two times as great, the force of gravity is now only % as much. If we now increase this distance to 3 times as much as the original, the force of gravity is now only times as large.

1/9

105. You should now be able to explain why the effects of gravity are not always observable: For example, you can not detect the force of gravity between yourself and a chair that is in the same room with you, because the combined mass of you and the chair is much too

SMALL OF LITTLE

106. However you are constantly aware of the force of gravity between yourself and the Earth, because the combined \_\_\_\_\_\_ of you and the Earth is quite (large/small) \_\_\_\_\_\_

MASS: LARGE

107. However, you are not aware of the force of gravity between yourself and some other hypothetical planet, about the same size as the Earth which is several hundred light years distant, in some other part of the universe, because this planet is too

DISTANT or FAR AWAY

108. You will recall that the force of gravity between two bodies increases as the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ increases.

FRODUCT OF THEIR MASSES

109. The way that a relationship like this is usually stated is to say that the force of gravity between two bodies is <u>directly proportional</u> to the product of their masses. If we let the force of gravity be represented by Fg, and the two masses be represented by M, and M<sub>2</sub>, then the way to state this relationship mathematically is to write Fg M X M<sub>2</sub>, where " << " means "

IS DIRECTLY PROPORTIONAL TO

110. This means that, if we triple the quantity  $\mathbb{M}_1 \times \mathbb{M}_2$ , Fg increases by (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ times.

111. Another way to say the same thing is to write:  $Fg = k X = X X_2$ , where "k" is some number that is put in to make both sides of the equation equal. This means that if we, in some way, change the equation, we must also change

THE NUMBER THAT WE LET "K" BE EQUAL TO

112. You will notice that the relationship we have been talking about still holds --ie.--if we multiply one side of this equation by a number "n", the other side becomes (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ times as large.

ы

113. In review, Fg X M<sub>2</sub> means the same thing as Fg = \_\_\_\_\_ where " ~ " means

k X M, X M, IS DIRECTLY PROPORTIONAL TO

114. You will now recall that the force of gravity between two bodies decreases as the distance between them \_\_\_\_\_\_, such that, as this distance is increased by "n" times, the Force of gravity becomes one \_\_\_\_\_ was strong.

INCREASES; 2

115. A relationship like this is usually stated by saying that the force of gravity is <u>inversely proportional</u> to the distance multiplied by itself. This is stated, mathematically as Fg ∝ 1/d<sup>2</sup>, where "d" represents distance, d<sup>2</sup> means and Fg represents

d X d; THE FORCE OF GRAVITY

The relationship  $Fg_{\rm OC}$   $1/d^2$  can also be expressed mathematically, as

-24-

 $Fg = \frac{G X M_1 X M_2}{2}$ 

125. This is known as Newton's Law Of Universal Gravitation. It was developed, as you can guess, by a man named Isaac (last name)

NEWTON

126. You will recall that we explained gravity in this amount of detail so that you might better understand a law which is called

KEPLOR'S THIRD LAW

127. Stated in a symbolic, mathematical way, Kepler's Third Law is this:

$$\frac{a^3}{p^2} = \frac{G}{4\pi^2} \left( M_1 + M_2 \right)$$

You are already familiar with some of the terms in this expression. For example, ""," and " $M_2$ " represent \_\_\_\_\_,

and "G" represents the

THE MASSES OF TWO BODIES ; GRAVITATIONAL CONSTANT

128. "a" in the above expression represents the distance between the centres of the two bodies we are considering. " $a^{3}$ ", of course, means

3 "a"s MULTIPLIED TOGETHER or a X a X a

129. "p" in this expression is put in to represent something which we call the "period". "p<sup>2</sup>", of course, means

2 "p"s MULTIPLIED TOGETHER or "p" TIMES ITSELF

130. "Period" in this case, simply means the time that elapses until a regular motion observed for two bodies in gravitational attraction begins once again. For example, if the two bodies we are considering are the Sun and one of its planets, a "period" would be the time it takes for that planet to \_\_\_\_\_\_ one orbit.

COMPLETE or FINISH
131. The only other thing in this expression which we have not yet explained is "7".". "7" is simply a number. Four our purposes, it is approximately equal to 3. In the expression, then, the term

 $4\pi^2$  is equal to the number:

(a) 144	see	frame	132A
(b) 36	see	frame	132B
(c) 24	see	frame	1320
(d) 0	see	frame	132D

132A. Your answer: 144 is incorrect. You have not understood

To have not understood the meaning of " $4\pi^{2}$ ". It should be clear to you that " $\pi^{2}$ " means

2 "71"s MULTIPLIED TOGETHER or "71". TIMES ITSELF

132A2. "4772" means 4 X " "" or 4 times

"TIMES ITSELF

132A3. You should now be able to calculate the correct answer. Go back to frame 131 and try again.

132B. Your answer: is correct.

You have understood the meaning of the expression "477<sup>2</sup>". If we measure time in years, mass in solar masses (1 solar mass = the mass of the sun), and distance in A.U.s., then we find that G has a value of about 36. You will recall that the expression for Kepler's third law is:

Proceed, now, to frame 133

1320. Your answer: 24 is incorrect. You do not know the meaning of "4772". It is also possible that

you have forgotten the meaning of " $\eta$ <sup>2</sup>". Let us consider some examples to bring this back to mind:  $1^2 = 1; 2^2 = 4; 3^2 = 9; 4^2 =$ .

16

13202. Therefore, if "n" is any number "n<sup>2</sup>" means

n X n; or n TIMES ITSELF

13203. It should be clear, then, that  $\mathcal{T}_1^2$  is equal to \_\_\_\_\_. TT TIMES ITSELF. Proceed, now, to frame 132A2 132D. Your answer: 0 is incorrect. In fact, this answer is meaningless. You will recall that Kepler's third law states that M. + M. 132D2. If you claim that 477 is equal to zero, then you will be left with the problem of dividing G by \_\_\_\_\_. 132D3. This is not possible, so that it is obvious that your answer is unrealistic. Go back to frame 131 and choose a better answer. 133. Recalling the value which we calculated for  $4\pi^2$ ,  $G/4\pi^2$  can now be calculated to be equal to \_\_\_\_. 134. Our expression for Kepler's third law, then, can be written more simply, as: 135. You remember, of course, that this way of writing Kepler's Third Law is only valid if time is measured in \_\_\_\_\_, mass is measured in \_\_\_\_\_\_, and distance is measured in \_\_\_\_\_\_ YEARS; SOLAR MASSES; ASTRONOMICAL UNITS or A.U.S 136. From this expression --ie.-- $\frac{a^3}{2} = M_1 + M_2$ , it is possible to predict something about the motions of planets, if we know their .average distances from the Sun. First, we must consider a comparaison: You already know that the Sun weighs solar mass(es). 137. The largest planet (Jupiter) weighs only 1/1,000 solar masses or (how many times?) as much as the Sun. -27-

145. Also, the value "a<sup>3</sup>" for planet B would be (how many?) times as great as """ for planet A. 8 146. However, when we double the value for "p", "p2" becomes only (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ times as much. 147. Therefore, to keep the ratio  $a^3/p^2$  about the same (that is, equal to  $\mathbb{M}_1 + \mathbb{M}_2$ ), we must increase "p" by (more/less) \_\_\_\_\_ than 2 times every time we double "a". MORE 148. What this means is that, in general, the farther a planet is from the sun, the (slower/faster) \_\_\_\_\_\_ it travels in its orbit. SLOWER 149. In review: Newton's Law Of Universal Gravitation is described by the expression:  $F_g = \underbrace{G X M_1 X M_2}_{a^2}$ 150. In the above equation, "Fg" represents  $\frac{1}{3}$ "My" and "Mg" represent and "d" represents THE FORCE OF GRAVITY; THE GRAVITATIONAL CONSTART; THE MASSES OF THE TWO BODIES; THE DISTANCE BETWEEN THE TWO BODIES 151. Kepler's third law is described by the expression: - = - G 47:2 N1 + N2

-29-





-31-

163A. Your answer: Yes is incorrect. We have tried to point out, in the sequence starting from frame 155 that "up" and "down" are concepts associated with our lives here on Earth. That is to say, "up" is against the direction that grovity pulls us, and "down" is with it. In space there are large distances between bodies that exert gravitational forces, hence gravity has no appreciable effect. Therefore concepts like "up" and "down" becken uninportant. Go back to frome 162 and select a better enswer.

163B. Your ensuer: No is correct. You have realized that "ur" and "down" are concepts that we learn here on Earth. In other words, "up" is simply in the (same/opposite) direction that gravity pulls whereas "down" is in the (same/opposite) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ direction that gravity pulls us.

OPPOSITE: SAME Proceed, now, to frame 164

163C. Your ensure: Only if it is raining on a Thursday afternoon is incorrect. It should be clear that you have stumbled across another abort frame. Take a break, and then begin afresh at frame 162.

164. We will now consider some facts concerning the relative sizes of planets. Frame 167 is enother data frame. In order to interpret its contents, however, you will need to understand the meaning of the unit "Earth mass". This will not be a difficult task, because an "Earth mass" is defined in the same way that we defined a "solar mass" earlier in the program. You will recall that 1 "solar mass" is equal to

THE MASS OF THE SUN

165. In a similar way, an "Earth mess" is defined in such a way that 1 "earth mass". will be equal to

THE MASS OF THE EARTH

166. If we say, then, that a certain body weighs 10 Earth masses, we are saying that it weighs (how many?) times as much as the Earth.

10

-32-

167. DATA FRAME ON RELATIVE SIZES OF PLANETS:

Planet	Diameter (in miles)	Mass (in Earth masses)	Surface Gravity (Gravity of Earth)
Mercury	3,025	0.06	0.38
Venus	7,526	0.8	0.90
Earth	7,927	1.0	1.00
Mars	4,218	0.1	0.38
Jupiter	88,700	318.0	2.64
Saturn	75,100	95.2	1.13
Uranus	29,200	14.6	1.07
Neptune	31,650	17.3	1.08
Pluto	3,500	0.1	0.60

168. The next several frames are based on information from the above data frame. First, if the series of circles below represent the relative sizes of all the planets (in descending order), they would be labelled in the following way:



1. JUPITER; 2. SATURN; 3. NEPTUNE; 4. URANUS; 5. EARTH; 6. VENUS; 7. MARS; 8. PLUTO; 9. MERCURY





173. Once again, we are to fill the box at the right with planets the size (and mass)of Mars. We should need (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ of them.



	An object weighing 19 pounds on Mercury would weigh how much on Saturn?
	<ul> <li>(a) 56.5 pounds see frame 181A</li> <li>(b) 50.0 pounds see frame 181B</li> <li>(c) 3.26 light years see frame 181C</li> <li>(d) 38.0 pounds see frame 181D</li> </ul>
1814	. Your answer: 56.5 pounds is correct. This same object would weigh (how many?) pounds on Jupiter.
132	Proceed, now, to frame 182
181E	. Your answer: 50.0 pounds is incorrect. You have part of the answer, however. Your answer is, in fact, the weight of the same object here on Earth. You recall that every pound here on Earth weighs (how much?) on Saturn.
1.13	pounds
181E	<ol> <li>Therefore, 50 pounds on Earth weightsX =pounds on Saturn.</li> </ol>
50;	1.13; 56.5
181E	3. Go back, now, to frame 180 and select a better answer.
1810	. Your answer: 3.26 light years is incorrect. What you have given us is the number of light years in one parsec. This has nothing to do with the question that was asked, however. Take another look at your units. Then go back to frame 180 and try
	D GD 3 D 1 1 0 D 0 0 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 D 0 D 0
1811	againtue recommend that you take a break first, nowever). . Your answer: 38.0 pounds is incorrect.
1811	Againtwe recommend that you take a break first, nowever). 9. Your answer: 38.0 pounds is incorrect. Your answer equals the weight of an object on Mercury that weighs 100 pounds here on Earth. However the object we are considering weighs 19 pounds on Hercury. 19 = 38/
1811	againtwe recommend that you take a break first, nowevery. 9. Your answer: 38.0 pounds is incorrect. Your answer equals the weight of an object on Mercury that weighs 100 pounds here on Earth. However the object we are considering weighs 19 pounds on Mercury. 19 = 38/
1811	<ul> <li>againtwe recommend that you take a break first, nowever).</li> <li>Your answer: 38.0 pounds is incorrect.</li> <li>Your answer equals the weight of an object on Mercury that weighs 100 pounds here on Earth. However the object we are considering weighs 19 pounds on Mercury. 19 = 38/</li> <li>2. fherefore on Earth, this same object would weigh</li></ul>
1811 2 1011	<ul> <li>againtwe recommend that you take a break first, nowever).</li> <li>Your answer: 38.0 pounds is incorrect.</li> <li>Your answer equals the weight of an object on Mercury that weighs 100 pounds here on Earth. However the object we are considering weighs 19 pounds on Mercury. 19 = 38/</li> <li>Z. Interefore on Earth, this same object would weigh =pounds.</li> <li>2; 50</li> </ul>
1811 2 1011 100;	<ul> <li>againtwe recommend that you take a break first, nowever).</li> <li>Your answer: 38.0 pounds is incorrect.</li> <li>Your answer equals the weight of an object on Mercury that weighs 100 pounds here on Earth. However the object we are considering weighs 19 pounds on Mercury. 19 = 38/</li> <li>2. Interefore on Earth, this same object would weigh</li> <li>2. Interefore on Earth, this same object would weigh</li> <li>2. So</li> <li>9. You know, from the data frame (167) that every pound here on Earth weighs (how much?) on Saturn.</li> </ul>

-36-

182. It is now time to review this segment which has dealt, mostly, with phenomena in our solar system. Three units which we can use, conveniantly, to describe distances within our solar system are \_\_\_\_\_\_

and LIGHT MINUTES; LIGHT HOURS; ASTRONOMICAL UNITS (A.U.S) 183. One light minute is equal to (defn.) \_\_\_\_\_, one light hour is equal to (defn.) \_\_\_\_\_\_, and one A.U. is equal THE DISTANCE THAT LIGHT TRAVELS IN ONE MIMUTE; THE DISTANCE THAT LIGHT TRAVELS IN ONE HOUR: THE AVERAGE DISTANCE FROM THE EARTH TO THE SUN 184. Three "laws" which we have talked about in this segment are known as and BODE'S LAW; NEWTON'S LAW OF UNIVERSAL GRAVITATION: KEPLER'S THIRD LAW 185. The mathematical statement of Fode's law is:  $a = 0.4 + 0.3 \times 2^{n}$ 186. The mathematical statement of Newton's law of Universal Gravitation is Fg = G X M, X M2 187. The mathematical statement of Kepler's third law is: You will recall, from variate places carlin some macher "W" is written with some sther M<sub>1</sub> + M<sub>2</sub> 188. Of these three laws, are usefull scientific laws because they are based on and have the power to NEWTON'S LAW OF UNIVERSAL GRAVITATION; KEPLER'S THIRD LAW: PHYSICAL FROFERTIES; PREDICT 189. One "solar mass" is equal to , and one "earth mass" is equal to THE MASS OF THE SUN; THE MASS OF THE EARTH

190. Concepts like ---- and \_\_\_\_ become unimportant in space.

dn	; DOWN
191.	You have come to the end of this segment of the program. If you have not taken a break since the beginning of this segment, we suggest that you do so now, before continuing on to frame 192.
192.	You will recall that the Sun is just one example of a whole clear of objects called
STAR	S
193.	Before we attempt to explain crything about such objects, however, if is going to be necessary for you to understand something known as Scientific Notation. This will not only help you to follow the mat- erial in this segment, but will facilitate your comprehension of con- cepts appoaring later in the program which will also require a know- ledge of
SCIE	VTIFIC
194.	Scientific notation is simply a way of dealing with large numbers. In the first segment of this program (on Astronomical Distances), we mentioned that the nearest star to our solar system was $25,200,000$ , CO0,000 miles distant. The way that this difficulty was overcome, in that section of the program, was to invent new for dis- tance.

UNITS

195. Unfortunately, it is not always conveniant to invent new units for everything that we would like to measure in the universe. Hence, it becomes necessary to deal with large numbers directly. This thing called \_\_\_\_\_\_\_helps us do this.

SCIENTIFIC NOTATION

196. You will recall, from various places earlier in the program, that if some number "k" is written with some other number"b" at the top right hand corner --le.--"k<sup>n</sup>", this means

"n" "k"s MULTIPLIED TOGETHER or k X k X k X k X ... (n times)

197. For	example:	5 =	
25			

198. 2<sup>5</sup> =

8

199. 3 = \_\_\_\_.

81

200. 10<sup>2</sup>=

100

201. 10<sup>3</sup> = \_\_\_\_: 10<sup>4</sup> = \_\_\_; and 10<sup>5</sup> = \_\_\_.

1,000; 10,000; 100,000

202. 10<sup>n</sup>, of course, means

n 10's MULTIPLIED TOGETHER

203. It should be clear, from frames 200 and 201, that "n" in 10<sup>n</sup> is also the number of \_\_\_\_\_ in your final answer.

.

015

204. For example, a number like 10<sup>23</sup> would be written as 1 followed by

23 0's

205. It will now be necessary that you understand how numbers such as these are multiplied together and divided. Let us take an example: You can calculate, for instance, that 100 X 1,000 =

100,000

206. Another way that we can write 100 is \_\_\_\_\_. Similarly, we can write 1,000 as \_\_\_\_\_.

10<sup>2</sup>; 10<sup>3</sup>; 10<sup>5</sup>

207. Substituting these numbers into the multiplication problem which we considered in frame 205, gives us the expression: \_\_\_\_X \_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_

10<sup>2</sup>; 10<sup>3</sup>; 10<sup>5</sup>

208. Let us consider another example: 100 X 10,000 =

1,000,000

209. Another way of writing this expression is:

 $10^2 \times 10^4 = 10^6$ 

ADD  
211. For example, it now causes very little difficulty to do the following problem: 
$$10^{23} \times 10^{47}$$
 = \_\_\_\_\_\_\_(in exponential notation).  
10<sup>70</sup>  
212. You will recall that this number " $10^{70}$ " means \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ or  
70 10's MULTIFLIED TOOLENER; 'I FOLLOWED  
213. This technique for multiplication in exponential notation works for  
any number of numbers multiplied together. For example:  $10^{13} \times 10^7 \times 10^{15}$  = \_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
10<sup>25</sup>  
214. The method for dividing numbers written in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ notation  
is quite similar.  
215. Let us consider some examples:  $\frac{100}{100}$  = \_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
100  
216. Another way of writing this expression is:  
 $\frac{10^4}{10^2} = 10^2$   
100  
217.  $\frac{1,000}{1,000}$  = \_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
100  
218. Another way of writing this expression is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
 $\frac{10^5}{10^2} = 10^2$   
107  
219. The rule for division for two numbers in the same \_\_\_\_\_\_ notati-  
ion is to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ their exponents.<sup>\*</sup>\_\_\_\_\_\_

SUBTRACT 222A5. You should now be able to solve the problem in frame 221. Go back and try again. 222B. Your answer: 1010 is incorrect. Let us break up the problem into parts: You will recall that the rule for multiplication in exponential notation is to the exponents. ADD 222B2. Therefore,  $10^{32} \times 10^{19} \times 10^{12} =$ 1063 222B3. Similarly, 10<sup>10</sup> X 10<sup>29</sup> X 10<sup>3</sup> = 1042 222B4. This means that 10<sup>32</sup> X 10 7 X 10 10<sup>10</sup> x 10<sup>29</sup> x 10<sup>3</sup> 10-3/10-2 Proceed, now, to frame 222A4. 222C. Your answer: 1042 is incorrect. The number which you have come up with represents only part of the answer.--ie.--10<sup>10</sup> X 10<sup>29</sup> X 10<sup>2</sup> = 10<sup>42</sup>. In other words, another way of writing 10<sup>10</sup> X 10<sup>29</sup> X 10<sup>3</sup> is 1042 222C2. Similarly, another way of writing 10<sup>32</sup> X 10<sup>19</sup> X 10<sup>12</sup> is 1063 Proceed, now, to frame 222A3 222D. Your answer: 10<sup>2</sup> is correct. We will now go on to talk about how scientific notation is written. Scientific notation comes out of the type of notation we have just been talking about, which, as you will remember, is called EXPONENTIAL NOTATION Proceed, now, to frame 223 -42-

222E. Your answer: I do not know how to do this problem is, of course, no answer at all. To solve your present difficulties, let us break up the problem into parts. You will recall that the rule for multiplication in exponential notation is to the exponents.

ADD	Profeed, now, to frame 222B2
223.	It should be clear to you, now, that if $10^3$ means "1" followed by, then 6 X $10^3$ means
3.0"	6;6 FOLLOWED BY 3 0's
224.	Also, 6.0 X $10^3{}^{\rm means}$ . Written out the "long way" this number is0
6 FO	LLO./2D BY 3 0's; 6,000
225.	Similarly 6.1 X 10 <sup>3</sup> is equal to(written out the "long way").
6,10	0
226.	In a similar fashion, 6.13 X 10 <sup>3</sup> is equal to(written the "long way").
6,13	0
227.	Also, 6.132 X 10 <sup>3</sup> is equal to (written the "long way").
6132	
2,28.	You will notice, in the above frame, that multiplying by 10 <sup>3</sup> has the effect of moving the decimal point in the number 6.132 over (how many?)places to the (left/right)
3; R	IGHT
229.	You will remember that multiplying by $10^7$ is equivalent to multiply=. ing by 10 (how many?) times.
3	
230.	This leaves us to conclude that every time you multiply a number by 10, you move the decimal point in that number (how?)
1 PL	ACE TO THE RIGHT
231.	For example, 9.37125 X 10 =
9371	2.5
-	-13-

232. Also 9.37125 X 10 <sup>5</sup> =	
937125	_
233. As well, 9.37125 X 10 <sup>6</sup> =	-
9371250	-
234. In addition, 9.37125 X 10 <sup>10</sup> =	-
93/1=500000	
235. If we now consider the number 9.37125 X 10 <sup>23</sup> , we know that it will be written as 9 followed by (how many?) digits before the original point.	ll dec·
23	-
2%. To find out how many 0's there will be in a number such as this, simply subtract (the number of digits after the 9 which are n 0) from 23. This gives us (how many?) 0's.	ve not
5, 16	-
237. In other words, the number 9.37125 X 10 <sup>23</sup> is written as 937125 for lowed by (how many?)0's.	1-
18	-
238. Similarly, the number 6.362 X 10 <sup>2</sup> is written as	
6326 FOLLOWED BY 39 0's	_
239. You have reached the point where you should be able to understand what <u>Scientific Notation</u> is. A number is in scientific notation w it is written as some number that is greater than or equal to 1 ar less than 10 multiplied by a 10 raised to some exponent. Another way of saying this, is: A number in scientific notation is written and the second sec	/her nd
as k X 10 <sup>n</sup> where "k" is a number greater than or equal to and less than 10,	
For example, the numbers 6.123 X 10 <sup>3</sup> and 9.37125 X 10 <sup>45</sup> are both	
SCIENTIFIC NOTATION	-
240	_
is the number 11.2 X 10 " written in Scientific notation?	
(a) Yes see frame 241A (b) No see frame 241B	
-U	-

#### 241A. Your answer: Yes

```
is incorrect.
```

You will recall that a number in scientific notation should be written as  $~k \ge 10^n~$  where "k" is some number greater than or equal to , and less than .

```
1; 10
```

# GREATER

24143. Go back, now, to frame 240 and choose the correct answer.

241B. Your answer: No

is correct. You have realized that, in the number 11.2 X 10<sup>12</sup>, 11.2 is greater than 10. Therefore, this number is not written in

```
SCIENTIFIC NOTATION Proceed, now, to frame 242
```

242. Is the number .93 X 10<sup>11</sup> written in Scientific Notation?

```
(a) Yes see frame 243A
(b) No see frame 243B
```

```
243A. Your answer: Yes
is incorrect.
You will remember that a number in scientific notation is written
as k X lo<sup>n</sup>, where "k" is less than _____ and greater than or equal
to _____.
```

## 10; 1

## LESS; 1

243A3. Go back, now, to frame 242 and choose the correct answer.

243B.	You	answe	r: No		
	Îs	the num	ber 6.236	X 10 <sup>2</sup> written in Scientific notation?	
		(a)	Yes	see frame 244A	
		(Ъ)	No	see frame 244B	

244A.	Your answer: Yes is correct. Finally, is the number 10.0 X 10 <sup>42</sup> written in Scientific notation?
	(a) Yes see frame 245A (b) No see frame 245B
<del>2</del> 44B.	Your answer: No is incorrect. the number $6.326 \times 10^{12}$ certainly is in Scientific notation. The number $6.326$ is less than 10 and greater than or equal to 1; and in $10^{2}$ , 2 is an acceptable exponent. If you are still confused on this point, go back to frame 239 and review Scientific notation in more detail. Otherwise, proceed to frame 243B and choose the cor- rect answer.
245A.	Your answer: Yes is incorrect. You have failed to understand the correct limits for "be" in the er
	pression k X 10 <sup>n</sup> . "k" is greater than or equal to 1, but less than 10. 10 can never be less than itself, therefore 10.0 X 10 <sup>42</sup> is not in scientific notation. If you are still confused on this point, go back to frame 239 and review scientific notation in more detail. Otherwise , proceed to frame 244A and select the correct answer.
2458.	Your answer: No is correct. You have understood the correct limits for "k" in the expression k X 10°, in that you know that "k" is to be greater than or equal to and less than
1, 10	Proceed, now, to frame 246
246. C n t	onverting a number from the "long form" to Scientific notation is of difficult. All that you have to do is put a decimal point after he first digit in the number, count the number of digits to the right f the decimal point, and let that be the exponent "m" when you write
1 n Tj p	O". For example, the number 623000 can be converted to scientific otation if we put the decimal point between the digits 6 and 2. his leaves (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal oint.
247. 1	herefore, 623000, in scientific notation, is written as 6,23000 X

-46-

248. The 3 O's after the decimal place are quite unnecessary, and, in fact, they can be left out. If we do this, our representation of 623000, in scientific notation, now looks like this: \_

6.23 X 102 249. How would the number 93600 bc written in Scientific notation? (a) .936 X 10<sup>5</sup> see frame 250A (b) 9.36 see frame 250B (c) 9.36 X 10<sup>5</sup> see frame 250C (d) 9.36 X 10 sec frame 250D (e) I do not know see frame 250E 250A. Your answer: .936 X 105 is incorrect. The number .936 is less than 1, therefore, .936 X 10<sup>5</sup> is not in Scientific notation. Go back to frame 249 and select a better 25CB. Your answer: 9.36 is incorrect. You are missing something very important. In scientific notation you need a term consisting of 10 to some exponent. Go back to frame 249 and select a better answer. 250C. Your answer: 9.36 X 105 is incorrect. You have the exponent wrong. Let us go back to the original number: 93600 .. The first step in changing this number into Scientific notation is, as you recall, to put the dedimal point after (which?) digit. THE FIRST 25002. In other words, the decimal point is placed in between the digits and \_\_\_\_\_. 9: 3 25003. Next, we count all the digits to the right of the decimal point. There are (how many?) of them. 250C4. This number (4) now becomes the value for on 10". THE EXPONENT or "n" 25005. Hence this number, in scientific notation, is 9.3600 X 704 -47250C6. A simpler way of writing this is X

## 9.36 : 104

is

25007. Now go back to frame 249 and choose the correct answer.

250D. Your answer: 9.36 X 10<sup>4</sup> is correct. Very Good!!!(For those of you who got this the first time). You should now be able to convert the number which we introduced at the beginning of this segment into Scientific notation. You will recall that the distance from our solar system to the next nearest star is about 25,200,000,000,000 miles. This number, in scientific notation

2.52 X 10<sup>13</sup> Proceed, now, to frame 251

250E. Your answer: I do not know

# THE FIRST

Proceed, now, to frame 250C2

251. The lest topic that we will consider in tolking about scientific notation, is the way in which numbers such as these are multiplied and divided. We will first consider the problem of multiplication:

Let us consider two numbers: 9.6 X 10<sup>10</sup> and 2.4 X 10<sup>12</sup>. You will notice, first of all, that both these numbers are in

SCIENTIFIC NOTATION

252. To multiply numbers together in Scientific Notation, you must multi-...ply like parts of them together and then recombine the two results that you get to derive a final answer. As you know, numbers in Scientific notation are minde up of two parts: One part (eg. 9.6) is

written in decimal notation, the other (eg. 10<sup>10</sup>) is written in notation.

EXPONENTIAL

253. The multiplication which we are considering involves two steps, then. First, we multiply together the parts of the numbers which are in notation, then we multiply those parts which are in notation.

DECIMAL; EMPONENTIAL

254. If we are considering the problem (9.6 X 10<sup>10</sup>) X (2.4 X 10<sup>12</sup>), we first multiply the decimal parts together --that is-- the numbers and \_\_\_\_\_.

9.6; 2.4

255. When we do this (9.6 X 2.4), we come up with the number

23.04

256. Next, we multiply the two exponential parts together -- that is -- the numbers \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_, ramemboring that the rule for multiplication \_\_\_\_\_\_.

10<sup>10</sup>; 10 12; ADD THE EXPOMENTS

257. When we do this  $(10^{10} \times 10^{12})$ , we come up with the number

1022

258. If we now dombine these two parts together, the result is the number x = x.

23.04; 1022

259.

You will notice however, that the number 23.04 X 10<sup>22</sup>(is/is not)

IS NOT

260. To correct this, we must move the decimal point in 23.04 so that it is now between the \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_. The result is the number \_\_\_\_\_\_.

2; 3; 2.304

261. Doing this has the same effect as dividing the number 23.04 by (how much?)

10

262. To keep everything equal, however, we must multiply the exponential port (10<sup>22</sup>) by (how much?) \_\_\_\_.

-49-

-	and a second interaction of a second second second second
10	
63. V	When we do this, the result is the number
23	and the second s
-	
264. 0	Our final-answer, in scientific notation, then, is
2.304	x 10 <sup>23</sup>
65. 1	What is the solution to the following problem (in scientific notation, (5.2 X $10^{15}$ ) X (6.3 X $10^{5}$ ) = ?
	(a) 3.276 X 10 <sup>27</sup> see frame 266A (b) 327.6 X 10 <sup>20</sup> see frame 266B (c) 32.76 X 10 see frame 266C (d) Darned if I know!?! see frame 266D
266A.	Your answer: 3.276 X 10 <sup>21</sup>
	is correct. Your arithmetic has worked out quite well. We will now consider the problem of division in Scientific notation. Once again, we divide like parts of the numbers together and then recombinethat is
DECIMA	<pre>is correct. Your arithmetic has worked out quite well. We will now consider the problem of division in Scientific notation. Once again, we divide like parts of the numbers together and then recombinethat is we divide the two parts in notation, then the two parts in notation. AL; EXPONENTIAL Proceed, new, to frame 267</pre>
DECIMA	<pre>is correct. Your arithmetic has worked out quite well. We will now consider the problem of division in Scientific notation. Once again, we divide like parts of the numbers together and then recombinethat is we divide the two parts in notation, then the two parts in notation. AL; EXPONENTIAL Proceed, now, to frame 267 Your answer: 327.6 X 10<sup>20</sup> is incorrect. Your answer indicates that you do not know how to multiply decimals. The problem of figuring out where the decimal point goes is, however not difficult. You simply count the number of digits to the right of the decimal points in the two numbers that you are multiplying and let that be the number of digits to the right of the decimal point in your final answer. For example, consider the problem 6.12 X 7.3. In the number 6.12, there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point, and in the number 7.3 there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point.</pre>
DECINA 2668.	<pre>is correct. Your arithmetic has worked out quite well. We will now consider the problem of division in Scientific notation. Once again, we divide like parts of the numbers together and then recombinethat is we divide the two parts in notation, then the two parts in notation. AL; EXPONENTIAL Proceed, now, to frame 267 Your answer: 327.6 X 10<sup>20</sup> is incorrect. Your answer indicates that you do not know how to multiply decimals. The problem of figuring out where the decimal point goes is, however not difficult. You simply count the number of digits to the right of the decimal points in the two numbers that you are multiplying and let that be the number 6.12, there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point, and in the number 7.3 there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point.</pre>
266B.	<pre>is correct. Your arithmetic has worked out quite well. We will now consider the problem of division in Scientific notation. Once again, we divide like parts of the numbers together and then recombinethat is we divide the two parts in notation, then the two parts in notation. AL; EXPONENTIAL Froceed, now, to frame 267 Your answer: 327.6 X 10<sup>20</sup> is incorrect. Your answer indicates that you do not know how to multiply decimals. The problem of figuring out where the decimal point goes is, however not difficult. You simply count the number of digits to the right of the decimal points in the two numbers that you are multiplying and let that be the number of digits to the right of the decimal point in your final answer. For example, consider the problem 6.12 X 7.3. In the number 6.12, there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point, and in the number 7.3 there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point. Altogether, then, there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal points in these two numbers. Therefore, there will be (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point in the final answer.</pre>
DECIN) 2668. 2: 1 26682. 5: 3	<pre>is correct. Your arithmetic has worked out quite well. We will now consider the problem of division in Scientific notation. Once again, we divide like parts of the numbers together and then recombinethat is we divide the two parts in notation, then the two parts in notation. AL; EXPONENTIAL Proceed, new, to frame 267 Your answer: 327.6 X 10<sup>20</sup> is incorrect. Your answer indicates that you do not know how to multiply decimals. The problem of figuring out where the decimal point goes is, however not difficult. You simply count the number of digits to the right of the decimal points in the two numbers that you are multiplying and let that be the number of digits to the right of the decimal point in your final answer. For example, consider the problem 6.12 X 7.5. In the number 6.12, there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point, and in the number 7.3 there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point. Altogether, then, there are (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal points in these two numbers. Therefore, there will be (how many?) digits to the right of the decimal point in the final answer.</pre>

44676

266B4. Therefore, the result of 6.12 X 7.3 is the number

44.676

20085. Similarly, the result of 5.2 X 6.3 is the number

32.76

266B6. Go back, now, to frame 265 and choose a better answer.

266C. Your answer: 32.76 X 10<sup>20</sup>

is incorrect.

There is nothing wrong with the way in which you did the calculation; however you did forget to convert your answer to scientific notation. To do this, you must move the decimal point in 32.76 so that it is between the digits \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_.

3:2

266C2. This has the effect of dividing the decimal part of your answer by

10

26603. To keep everything equal, then, you must multiply the exponential \_\_\_\_\_\_ part by \_\_\_\_\_

10

26664. Your answer, in Scientific notation, then, is X

3.276; 1021

26605. Go back, now, to frame 269 and select the correct answer.

266D. Your answer: Darned if I know! ?!

indicates one to two things: Either you did not follow the developement from frame 251 closely enough, or you find yourself unable, for some reason, to calculate the correct answer. If your's is the first of these problems, go back to frame 251 and start the sequence again. Otherwise, continue on with the developement from this frame: You will recall that to multiply two numbers together, in scientific notation, it is necessary to multiply like parts of the numbers --that is--the \_\_\_\_\_\_ part of one with that of the other, and the

DECIMAL; EXPONENTIAL

266D2. In the problem (5.2 X  $10^{15}$ ) X (6.3 X  $10^{5}$ ), for example, we multiply the two parts \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ together, then the two parts \_\_\_\_\_ and

5.2; 6.3	3; 10 <sup>15</sup> ; 10 <sup>5</sup>
266D3. 1	when we do this (ie5.2 X 6.3, and $10^{15} \times 10^{5}$ ), we come up with the two results and
32.76; 1	10 <sup>20</sup>
266D4. (	Combining these gives us the number
32.76 X	1020
266D5.	To put this into scientific notation, we have to move the decimal point so that it is now between the digits and
3; 2	Proceed, now, to frame 25602
267. As sid	an example, let us take two numbers similar to those which we con- lered previously, and attempt the following problem: $\frac{2.4 \times 10^{15}}{9.6 \times 10^{10}}$ we first divide the decimal parts of both numbers, the result is
-25	4/9.6 =
268. Wh th	en we divide the exponential parts of both numbers (remembering at the rule for division in exponential notation is to), we come up with the result 10 <sup>15</sup> /10 <sup>10</sup> =
SUBTRAC	T THE EXFONENTS; 105
269. Co	mbining these two results gives us the numberX
.25; 10	5
270. Ag in th	ain, the number .25 X 10 <sup>5</sup> is not in Scientific notation. To put it to Scientific notation, we neel to put the decimal point between e digits and
2; 5	
271. Th	is has the effect of multiplying the number .25 by
10	
272. To	keep everything equal, we need to divide the exponential part by The exponential part now becomes (what number?)

-52-

2.5 X 10 <sup>4</sup>	
274. What is the solution ion?) <u>1.3 X</u> 2.6 X	on to the following problem (in scientific notat- $\frac{10^{50}}{10^{21}}$ = 2
(a) $0.5 \times 10^{29}_{20}$ (b) $5.0 \times 10^{20}_{20}$ (c) $5.0 \times 10^{28}_{20}$ (d) One of the a	sce frame 275A sce frame 275B see frame 275C bo <b>ve.</b> sce frame 275D
275A. Your answer: .0.5 is incorrect. There is nothing gotten to convert you must move the	X 10 <sup>29</sup> wrong with your calculation; however you have for your answer into Scientific notation. To do thi decimal point so that is is (where?)
ATIM THE TIVE	
275A2. This has the eff	ect of multiplying .5 by
10	
10 275%3. To keep things e your answer by _	equal, we need to divide the exponential part of
10 275A3. To keep things e your answer by _ 10	equal, we need to divide the exponential part of
<ol> <li>To keep things e your answer by _</li> <li>275A4. Your answer, in</li> </ol>	equal, we need to divide the exponential part of
<ul> <li>10</li> <li>275Å3. To keep things e your answer by</li> <li>10</li> <li>275Å4. Your answer, in</li> <li>5.0; 10<sup>28</sup></li> </ul>	equal, we need to divide the exponential part of
<ol> <li>10</li> <li>275Å3. To keep things e your answer by</li> <li>10</li> <li>275Å4. Your answer, in</li> <li>5.0; 10<sup>28</sup></li> <li>275Å5. Go back, now, to</li> </ol>	equal, we need to divide the exponential part of scientific notation, then, is X
<ul> <li>10</li> <li>275A3. To keep things e your answer by</li></ul>	equal, we need to divide the exponential part of
<ul> <li>10</li> <li>275A3. To keep things of your answer by</li></ul>	equal, we need to divide the exponential part of

2750.	Your answer: 5.0 X 10
	is correct.
	You are to be commended for staying with the developement until
	this point. You will remember that we started this segment discus-
	sing objects called

STARS Proceed, now, to frame 276

275D. Your answer: One of the above

is not incorrect; however it is obviously not explicit enough. It is fairly clear that you have become bored at this point. This is, as you might have guessed, another abort frame. We suggest that you set this book down for a while, and then, at some later time, continue on in the program starting from frame 274.

276. The nearest star to us which we call \_\_\_\_\_, is just one example out of this class of objects.

THE SUN

277. In the next several frames, we will attempt to acquaint you with some phenomena and a few facts that will help you to calculate how long we expect the sun to last. First, however, you will need to understand some units which we will use in this development. The first unit which we will talk about is the centimeter. The centimeter (cm.) like the inch, is a measure of

LENGTH or, better -- DISTANCE

278. It takes, in fact, about 2% centimeters to make up one inch. This means that an ordinary 12 inch ruler is about (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ centi-\_\_\_\_ meters(cm.) long.

30

279. A distance of 300 cm., then, is about (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ inches in length.

120

280. The second unit which we would like to introduce is the gram (g.). The gram, like the pound, is a unit of

WEIGHT, or better -- MASS

281. For example, there are about 500 grams(g.) in one pound. This means that a 10 pound object weighs about (how many?) g.

5,000

282. The object at the right below would have to weigh (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_
pounds to balance the scales.

283. We have three more units to introduce: First, however, it will be necessary for you to be able to differentiate between two basic concepts: Energy and Fower. You probably already have a good intuitive understanding of what Energy is. For example, a light bulb works by giving off ENERGY 284. Power, on the other hand, is defined as the rate of release of Energy. In fact, we can describe this relationship by using the following equation: Power = Energy/Time Another equation which we have considered earlier in the program. that is similar to this is: \_\_\_\_.  $\mathbf{v} = d/t$ 285. For example, suppose that you were able to measure the energy given off by a light bulb in some time period. Next, you take another light bulb and observe that it gives off the same amount of energy as the first, but during a shorter time period. How much Power is involved in this second instance? (a) Less than was involved proviously see frame 286A (b) More than was involved previously see frame 286B (c) The same amount that was involved previously see frame 286C (d) There is not enough information available to answer this question see frame 286D 280A. Your answer: Less than was involved previously is incorrect. We are considering the equation: Power = ENERGY : TIME 286A2. Something on the right hand side of this equation changes so that the amount of Power (on the left-hand side of the equation) changes. We know, from the question, that the amount of \_\_\_\_\_\_ released does not change.

#### ENERGY

286A3. The only thing left on the right hand side of the equation Power = Energy/Time that can change, then, is the amount of

#### TIME

28644. We know, in fact, that the amount of time involved <u>does</u> change, because, in the second instance, the light bulb was observed for a (longer/shorter) \_\_\_\_\_period of time.

## SHORWAR

28545. Time appears as the bottom part of a fraction. Let us see what happens to a fraction as we decrease the value of its bottom part. For example, 3 is less than however 1/3 is (greater/less) than

#### GREATER

### GREATER

28647. Therefore, as you decrease the value of the bottom part of a fraction, the fraction, itself, becomes (larger/smaller)

#### LARGER

28648. Notice that Time is the bottom part of the fraction Energy/Time, the top part of which, as we have already discussed, does not change. In the example we are considering, the value for time (increases/decreases)

### DECREASES

286A9. Therefore, the value of the fraction Energy/Time (increases/ decreases)

#### INCREASES

286A10. You will recall that Energy/Time =

FOWER

286A11. Therefore, in the example we are considering, increases.

FOWER

286A12. Go back , now, to frame 285 and choose the correct answer.

286B, Your answer: More than was involved previously is correct. You have realized that, in the equation Power = Energy/Time, as applied to our example, the value for Energy is staying the same, but the value for time is decreasing. The result of this is to increase the value for FOWER Proceed, now, to frame 287 286C. Your answer: The same amount that was involved previously is incorrect. We are looking at the equation: Power = / . ENERGY; TIME Proceed, now, to frame 286A2 286D. Your answer: There is not enough information available to answer this question is incorrect. We are locking at the equation: Power = \_\_\_\_/ ENERGY; TIME Proceed, now, to frame 286A2 287. One unit for energy is the Joule, another is the erg. The Joule and the Erg are both units of ENERGY 288. These units are related in the following way: 1 Joule = 10'Ergs. In other words, 5 3 X 10<sup>7</sup> ergs is equivalent to (how many?) Joules. 5.3 289. 6.7 X 10<sup>9</sup> ergs equivalent to (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ Joules. 670 290. 3.9 Joules is equivalent to (how many?) ergs. 3.9 × 107 291. Units for Power are derived out of those for Energy. You will remember that Power and Energy are related to each other by the following equation: POWER = ENERGY/TIME 292. The unit for Power which we will consider is the watt. The watt is defined in the following way: 1 Watt = 1 Joule/second. In other words, 1 Watt = \_\_\_\_\_ ergs/second. 107

10000000000000000000000000000000000000	 	 _	 	
60				

294. A 100 watt light bulb would release (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ Joules each second.

100

295. It is clear, then, that a 100 watt light bulb would be (brighter/ dimmer) than a 60 watt light bulb.

# BRIGHTER

2.5. The more things that we have to introduce, before beginning our developement on the lifetime of the Sun, are two equations: The first of these allows you to calculate the surface area of a sphere (or ball). To understand this, you will have to know what we mean by the radius of a sphere: The radius of a sphere is simply the distance between the centre of the sphere and its outside edge. For example, if the diagram below represents a slice taken through . the centre of a sphere, the line drawn in (r) would represent the of that sphere. Centre RADIUS 297. The formula for the surface area of a sphere, then, is this: - A = 477 r2 where A represents Area r represents radius  $\mathcal{R}$  is a number approximately equal and to 298. For our purposes here, however, it will be conveniant to use a better approximation than this for " $\mathcal{T}$ ". " $\mathcal{T}$ " is, in fact, closer to 3.1. To illustrate how the formula  $A = \frac{1}{7}r^2$  is used, we know, for instance, that a sphere of radius 3 inches would have a surface area of (how many?) square inches. 111.6

299. The second equation that you will have to know attempts to relate Energy to Matter. This equation, developed by Albert Einstein, is the following:

E = mcwhere

E represents Energy m represents mass, c represents the speed of light.

.

To demonstrate how an equation such as this might be used, we will consider the following example: Given that the speed of light is 3 X 10<sup>10</sup> cm./sec, if we were able to convert a 10 gram object into energy, the amount of energy that we would end up with would be equal to (how many?) ergs.

and

9.0 X 10<sup>21</sup>

300. This is a lot of energy !!! This is (how much?) energy (in Joules), remembering that 1 Joule = 10' ergs.

9 X 10<sup>14</sup> Joules

301. With this amount of Energy, we could keep (how many?) 100 watt light bulbs burning for one second (remembering that 1 watt = 1 Joule/sec.).

9 X 10<sup>12</sup>

302. In review of the material we have covered so far in preparation for the following developement, the centimeter is a unit of

DISTANCE

303. The gram is a unit of

MASS

304. Power is related to Energy by the following Equation:

FOWER = ENERGY/TIME

305. Two units for Energy are the \_\_\_\_\_, and the \_\_\_\_\_

JOULE; ERG

306. A unit that is used to measure power is the

WATT

307. 1 Watt = (how many?) Joules/sec. = (how many?) ergs/sec.

1; 107

-59-

308. The equation for the surface area of a sphere is the following:

 $A = 4\pi r^2$ 309. Einstein's equation, relating matter to energy, is the following:  $E = mc^2$ 310. In the next several frames, you will be manipulating several numbers in Scientific notation. If you are still uncertain about how numbers written in Scientific notation are multiplied together and divided, this would be a good time for you to review the contents of frames 265 and 274. If, on the other hand, you are ready to proceed, we must point out two things: First you should express all the answers that you calculate, in scientific notation. Secondly you should "round off" the decimal part of any answer that you get, to one decimal place. To illustrate how "rounding off" works, consider the number 3.14. This number is (closer to/farther from) ..... the number 3.1 than 3.2. CLOSER TO 311. Hence, we can "round off" 3.14 (to one decimal place) by calling it . the number 3.1 312. Similarly, a number like 3.17 can be rounded off (to one decimal place) to the number 3.2 313. For the sake of argument, we will consider a number like 4.25 to be closer to 4.3 than 4.2, hence, 4.25 can be rounded off (to one decimal place) to the number 4.3 314. The purpose of the next several frames is to allow you to make some calculations regarding the power output of the Sun and to there-by determine how long we expect it to last. The first figure that you will need to know is this: 1 A.U. = 1.5 X 10<sup>13</sup> cm. This, of course, means that the radius of the earth's orbit about the Sun is about (how many?) centimeters long. 1.5 X 10<sup>13</sup> 315. At this distance, the power output of the Sun can be measured to be .14 watts per square centimeter of area. This means that, on the average, the measured power of the sun over an area of 1 square cm. on the Earth is (how much?)

-60-

316	. If we assume that the Sun releases the same amount of power in ev direction, then it is possible to say that it releases .14 watts over every square centimeter of area at (how many?) centimeters from the sun.
1.5	x 10 <sup>13</sup>
317.	The problem of finding how many square centimeters are involved here is equivalent to considering the surface area of a sphere placed inside the Earth's orbit, or, in other words, a sphere whose radiu is (how many?) centimeters.
1.5	x 10 <sup>13</sup>
318.	To calculate the area of such a sphere, we must use the equation:
A =	$4\pi r^2$
	metors Square ce
2.9	X 10 <sup>27</sup>
2.9	We know that the power output to each one of these 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> cent meters is (how many?) watts.
2.9 320.	We know that the power output to each one of these 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> cent meters is (how many?) watts.
2.9 320. .14 321.	X 10 <sup>27</sup> We know that the power output to each one of these 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> cent meters is (how many?) watts. Therefore, to calculate the total power output of the Sun, it is s ply a matter of finding out how many watts are involved for every square centimeter we are considering, taken together. This calcul ation can be done by multiplying the two numbers (number of wa per square centimeter) X (number of square centimeter)
2.9 320. .14 321.	We know that the power output to each one of these 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> cent meters is (how many?) watts. Therefore, to calculate the total power output of the Sun, it is s ply a matter of finding out how many watts are involved for every square centimeter we are considering, taken together. This calcul ation can be done by multiplying the two numbers(number of wa per square centimeter) X (number of square centimeter) 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup>
2.9 320. .14 321. .14; 322.	X 10 <sup>27</sup> We know that the power output to each one of these 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> cent meters is (how many?) watts. Therefore, to calculate the total power output of the Sun, it is s ply a matter of finding out how many watts are involved for every square centimeter we are considering, taken together. This calcul ation can be done by multiplying the two numbers (number of wa per square centimeter) X (number of square centimeter. 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> When we do this, the result is the number
2.9 320. .14 321. .14; 322. 4.1 3	X 10 <sup>27</sup> We know that the power output to each one of these 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> cent meters is (how many?) watts. Therefore, to calculate the total power output of the Sun, it is s ply a matter of finding out how many watts are involved for every square centimeter we are considering, taken together. This calcul ation can be done by multiplying the two numbers (number of wa per square centimeter) X (number of square centimeter) 2.9 X 10 <sup>27</sup> When we do this, the result is the number

-61-
324. You will recall that 1 watt = (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ ergs/sec .

107 325. Therefore, 4.1 X  $10^{26}$  watts = X ergs/sec. 4.1 x 10<sup>26</sup>; 10<sup>7</sup> 326. When we do the calculation outlined in the obove frame, we come up with the number 4.1 x 1033 327. This number ( 4.1 X 1033) is, as you will recall, the number of in (how many?) watts. ERGS/SEC. ; 4-1 X 10<sup>26</sup> 328. This means that the Sun's energy output per second is (how much?) 4.1 X 10<sup>33</sup> ergs. 329. The Sun "works" by convering matter to energy. Thus, if we know how much energy is released by the Sun every second, we should be able to find out how much matter is converted to energy in this process. To do this, we must use the following equation which attempts to relate matter to energy: \_\_\_\_  $E = mc^2$ 330. We know the values for two of the letters in this equation already. These are the letters \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_. E; c , and c = (how many?) 331. E = (how much?)cm./sec. (see frame 299) 4.1 X 10<sup>33</sup> ergs; 3.0 X 10<sup>10</sup> 332. The only letter that we do not know the value of, then, is (which one?) m -62-

333. However, we can calculate the value for "m" by knowing those for "E"

and "c". First, however, we must re-arrange the equation  $E = mc^2$  so that "m" stands by itself on one side. At this point in the program you should be able to re-arrange an equation of this sort. If you are still somewhat uncertain about this, however, we suggest that you go back and review frames 11B to 11B5 to recall how we developed d = v X t from v = d/t, before continuing on from this frame. Otherwise, you should be able to manipulate the equation  $E = mc^2$ 

equation: m =

E/ 2

334. Using the values for E and c that we have already talked about, we can calculate that m = / \_\_\_\_\_ grams.

4.1 X 10<sup>33</sup>; 9.0 X 10<sup>20</sup>

335. When we complete the calculation outlined in frame 334, we find that the value for "m" becomes (how much?)

4.6 X 10<sup>12</sup> grams

336. What this means is that (how many?) grams (of matter) are converted to Energy in the Sun every second.

4.6. X 10<sup>12</sup>

337. 4.6 X 10<sup>12</sup> .grams is equal to about 5 million tons. Remember that 5 million tons represents the amount of \_\_\_\_\_\_ converted to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

MATTER; ENERGY; EVERY SECOND

338. We know, from Kepler's Third Law, that the mass of the Sun is equal to about 2.0 X  $10^{33}$  grams. About .7 percent of this mass is available to be converted to energy. What this means is that, for every 100 grams of matter in the Sun, .7 grams can be converted to energy. 2.0 X  $10^{33} = X 100, (10^2)$ 

2.0 X 10<sup>31</sup>

339. Therefore, the amount of matter in the Sun that can be converted to energy is equal to .7 X grams.

2.0 X 10<sup>31</sup>

340. When we complete this calculation, we come up with the number

-63-

# 1.4 X 10<sup>31</sup>

341. This number (1.4 X 10<sup>31</sup>) is, as you will recall, the amount of

MATTER IN THE SUN THAT CAN BE CONVERTED TO ENERGY 342. If we assume that, when this "fucl" is used up, the Sun's lifetime is over, then we should be able to calculate about how long this particular star will last. We know, for instance, that (how many?) grams of matter are converted to energy in the Sun every second.

### 4.6 X 10<sup>12</sup>

343. We also know that (how many?) grams of matter are available in the Sun, to be converted.

## 1.4 X 1031

344. Therefore, we should expect that the Sun will last \_\_\_\_\_seconds.

1.4 x 10<sup>31</sup>: 4.6 x 10<sup>12</sup> 345. When we complete this celculation, we come up with the number

# 3.0 X 10<sup>1</sup>

346. This number (3.0 X 1018) is, as you recall, the length of time that in (what units?)

WE EXPECT THE SUN TO LAST; SECONDS

347. We can convert this figure for seconds into one for years, knowing that there are 3.2 X 10' seconds in one year. Therefore 1 second =( 1/ \_\_\_\_\_) years.

3.2 X 107

348. Therefore 3.0 X  $10^{10}$  seconds = ( \_\_\_\_\_\_ ) years.

3.0 X 10<sup>18</sup>; 3.2 X 10<sup>7</sup>

349. When we do the above calculation, the result is the number

-64-

9.4 X 10<sup>10</sup>

350. This number (9.4 X 10<sup>10</sup>) represents the length of time that we expect the Sun to last in (what units?)

YEARS

351. Does the fact that the Sun may "burn out" after this time period worry you at all?

(a)	Yes			see	frame	352A
(b)	No			see	frame	352B
(c)	Only	at	night	see	frame	3520

352A. Your answer: Yes

may very well indicate a very genuine concern for humanity on your part. However it should be pointed out that there are other factors which threaten to shorten man's existance on this planet to much

less than 9.4 X 10<sup>10</sup> years. You would be well advised to redirect your concern to some of these factors. Go back to frame 351 and pretend that you are a little less concerned about this problem.

352B. Your answer: No

is quite realistic, if we remember how long a time period 9.4 X 10 years actually is. We are now going to proceed to discuss matters relating to the brightness of stars. We have already considered the power output of one star (the Sun). Stars vary, hewever, in the amount of power that they put out. It should be obvious that the more power a star puts out, the (brighter/fainter) that star will tend to be, at some distance.

BRIGHI	ER Proceed, now, to frame 353
352C.	Your answer: Only at night
	might have some interesting philosophical implications; however it
	probably indicates a state of exhaustion on your part. This is
	an abort frame. If you have not done so recently, we suggest that
	you put the book down momentarily and take abreak. When you feel
- co - et c - 15	ready to make a more coherent attack on these programmed materials,
	we suggest that you proceed from frame 351.

353. In Astronomy, the brightness of things is talked about in terms of something called Magnitude. To talk about the relative brightness of things in Astronomy, it is conveniant to use the term

MAGNITUDE

354. Magnitudes are represented by a series of numbers: The greater the value of the number, the less the brightness of the object in question. For example, a star of magnitude 4 is (brighter/fainter) than a star of magnitude 3.

-65

FAINTER

355. A star of Magnitude 0 is (brighter/fainter) \_\_\_\_\_\_ than a star of magnitude 2.

#### BRIGHTER

356. A negative number, like -2, is (greater/less) than 0.

LESS

#### BRIGHTER

358. An object of magnitude 1 would be (brighter/fainter) than one of magnitude -2.

### FAINTER

359. To give you some idea of how bright objects are, whose magnitudes are represented by numbers like these, the faintest stars which you are able to see (on a dark clear night) are around magnitude 6. The brightest stars are around magnitude 0. The magnitude of the Sun is about -27. A star of magnitude 2 is (how many?) magnitudes brighter than the faintest stars visable to the unaided eye, (how many?) magnitudes fainter than the brightest stars, and (how many?) magnitudes fainter than the Sun .

### 4; 2; 29

360. The telescope at Mount Palomar can photograph stars of magnitude 23. This is (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ magnitudes fainter than the faintest stars visable to the unaided eye.

17

361. You will recall that, the brighter a star appears, at some distance, the (greater/less) its power output will tend to be.

GREATER

362. Hence, the greater the number representing the magnitude of a star, at some distance, the (greater/less) \_\_\_\_\_ the power output of that star will tend to be.

#### LESS

363. Suppose, now, that all stors are the same colour and that you are out observing them under the night sky. You see a star, the magnitude of which you estimate to be equal to 1. The estimated magnitude of a second star is equal to 2. Is the power output of the first star greater than that of the second? (a) Yes see frame 364A (b) No see frame 364B (c) Not necessarily see frame 364C

-66-

#### 364A. Your answer: Yes

is incorrect.

Your answer assumes that both stars in question are about the same distance from you. It is quite possible that this is not the case. You know, in an intuitive way, that, as you increase the distance between yourself and a bright object, the object appears to become (brighter/fainter)

```
FAINTER
```

364.2. Hence, a star could very well be quite bright in itself --ie.--its power output is (great/small) \_\_\_\_\_-however this same star might, to us, appear to be quite faint, because it is

GREAT; DISTANT or FAR AWAY

364A3. Hence, information on magnitudes of stars, by itself, tells un nothing about their power outputs, because different stars are differfrom us.

#### DISTANCES

364A4. Go back, now, to frame 363 and select a better answer.

364B. Your answer: No

is incorrect.

It is possible that you are confused by the fact that, although the power output of the first star is not necessarily greater than that of the second, it is still possible that this is the case. Return to frame 363 and select a better answer.

### 364C. Your answer: Not necessarily

is correct.

You have realized that the two stars in frame 363 may be at different distances from us, hence information regarding their magnitudes can not, by itself, allow us to say anything about their relative power outputs. The fact that we are talking about the brightness of stars as they appear to us suggests the term: <u>Apparent Magnitude---</u> the brightness of an object as it appears to us. It should be clear that the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of a star is affected by distance as well as power output.

APPLRENT MAGNITUDE

Proceed, now, to frame 365

365. In other words, the farther away a particular star is the (higher/ lower) \_\_\_\_\_\_ will be the number representing its apparent magnitude.

#### HIGHER

366. Suppose that the two stars mentioned in frame 363 actually had the same power output. From this, we would be able to conclude that the star whose apparent magnitude was 1 was actually (closer to/farther from) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ us than the star whose apparent magnitude was 2. CLOSER TO

367. To talk about magnitudes of stars, in a way that refers, more closely, to their actual power output, we must use a different term: Absolute Magnitude. From the absolute magnitude of a star, it is possible to say something about its power output. Hence. absolute magnitude is based on how bright an object (eg. — a star) would appear at some fixed

DISTANCE

368. The distance that is used is 10 parsecs or (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ light years (hint: see frame 59).

32.6

369. So, then, \_\_\_\_\_\_ is defined as the apparent magnitude a star would have, viewed from a distance of 10 parsecs.

ABSOLUTE MAGNITUDE

370. Hence, if we know the apparent and absolute magnitude of a star, it would be possible to calculate the \_\_\_\_\_\_ from here to that star.

#### DISTANCE

371. For example, if two stars having the same absolute magnitude were observed to have different apparent magnitudes, we would be justified in concluding that the two stars are at different from us.

DISTANCES

372. Numerical calculations for distances, knowing absolute and apparent magnitudes are possible, using an equation. This equation allows us to calculate the distance to a particular star, by knowing two fact about that star: Its \_\_\_\_\_\_ and its

APPARENT MAGNITUDE; ABSOLUTE MAGNITUDE

373. The equation that we use is the following: -r = 10 where "m" represents Apparent Magnitude "M" represents Absolute Magnitude and "r" represents distance (in parsecs) It is important that you realize that <u>m - M + 5</u> is an exponent. For example, suppose that a star exists whose absolute magnitude is 2, and whose apparent magnitude is 7. The value for m, then, is and that for M is \_\_\_\_

7; 2	
374. Theref	ore, the expression $\left(\frac{m-M+5}{5}\right)$ takes on the value
2	
375. Going 10 rai	back to frame 373, we can now calculate that "r" is equal to ised to the exponent
2	
376. Hence,	"r" takes on the value
100	
377. What t	this means is that the star in question is (how far ?) away.
100 PARSECS	3
378. So far know,	, we have talked about exponents in a very intuitive way: You for instance, that 1011 means
11 10's MUI	TIPLIED TOGETHER
379. Anumber beyond ived. <u>al er</u> distar know h ally e	er like $10^{2}$ seems to be meaningless, in this context. However, a like $10^{2}$ does have an actual numerical value, although it is the scope of this program to discuss how such values are der- Exponents like are fractions, hence they are called fraction- ponents. To do meaningfull calculations with the equation for the which we have intro_duced, it will be necessary for you to how to work with fractional exponents. These exponents are usu- expressed in decimal notation. For example, the decimal repres- tion of $2$ is
0.5	
380. Hence,	, 10 <sup>2</sup> can be written as
10.5	
381. 10 <sup>2/5</sup>	can be written as
10.4	
and the local division of the local division	

 $10^{1} = 1.2$   $10^{2} = 1.6$   $10^{3} = 2.0$   $10^{4} = 2.5$   $10^{5} = 3.2$   $10^{6} = 4.0$   $10^{7} = 5.0$   $10^{8} = 6.3$  $10^{9} = 8.0$ 

The above list contains some examples of fractional exponents of the number 10 and the approximate values of these numbers. You will find these usefull in the next several frames.

383.	To determine values for numbers with fractional exponents, using in-				
	formation from the above data frame, is a simple procedure: To ill-				
	ustrate this, consider the example:				
	ten as a decimal, rounded off to one decimal place, is				
	ton all a doutinary rounded our to one decimar place, is				

.3

384. Therefore 101/3 can be written as \_\_\_\_ (approximately).

10.3

385. The value for 10.3, from the data frame (382) is \_\_\_\_\_.

2.0

386. The procedure, then, is quite simple: You simply convert the fractional exponent to a decimal, rounded off to one decimal place, and look up the value for 10 raised to that exponent in data frame 382. For example,  $10^{5/8} =$ 

4.0

387. Let us consider an example using the equation for distance relating to Absolute and Apparent magnitude which is, as you recall,r =

382.

m - M + 5 388. The brightest star in the night sky, Sirius, has an apparent magnitude of -1.4 and an obsolute magnitude of 1.5. Therefore, in this. case,  $\left(\frac{m-M+5}{5}\right) = ---/$ 2.1; 5 389. 2.1/5 = (rounded off to one decimal place). .4 390. From the table, in data frame 382, you know that 10°4 = 2.5 391. Therefore, we know that Sirius is (how far?) away. 2.5 Parsecs 392. The distance from here to Sirius is, therefore, (how many?) . light years. 8.15 393. Dealing with fractional exponents greater than 1. like  $10^{3.2}$ , for example, presents no problem. All that you need to do is split the number up and deal with it in two parts, for example,  $10^{3-2} = 10^3$ X \_\_\_\_. (Hint: You remember that the rule for multiplication in exponential notation is to add the exponents). 10.2 394.  $10^3$ , written the "long way" is \_\_\_\_, and  $10^{-2} = ($ see frame 382) 1,000; 1.6 395. Therefore,  $10^{3.2} =$ \_\_\_\_X 1,000; 1.6 396. When we do the above multiplication, the result is the number 1,600

397. 1,600 is written, exponentially, as \_\_\_\_\_

7	2	2
- (	17	

398. Let us consider another example: Polaris, the north star, has an
apparent magnitude of 2.0 and an absolute magnitude of -4.6. What
is its distance from us in light years.
(a) 2.3 light years see frame 399A
(b) 7.5 light years see frame 399B
(c) 652 light years see frame 3990
(a) I do not know what to do with a negative exponent.
see frame 399E
399A. Your answer: 2.3 light years
The number in your answer represents the value of the exponent we
are using, ie.—the value of the expression $\left(\frac{m-M+5}{5}\right)$ when you
plug in the correct numbers for absolute and apparent magnitude. This number, however, is only an exponent. It is part of the equat-
ion $r =$
(see frame 373).
TO
399A2. "r" represents the distance to the star in question in (what
units?)
PARSECS
399A3. The exponent on the ten, in this case, is
2.3
2.3
399A4. The value for 10 is calculated by splitting 10 into two parts:
$10^2 \cdot 3 = 10^2 x$
3
10.2
2 3 4
399A5. 10 =(written the "long way"), and 10 = (see frame
392).
100: 2.0

399A6. So, then,  $10^2 \times 10^{-3} =$ 

200

399A7. This number (200) represents

THE DISTANCE TO POLARIS IN PARSECS

399A8. To convert this to a distance in light years, it is necessary to know that 1 parsec = (how many?) light years (see frame 59).

3.26

399A9. Therefore, Polaris is X 3.26 light yrs. away from us.

200

399AlO. Go back, now, to frame 398 and choose a better answer.

399B. Your answer: 7.5 light years is incorrect. This answer indicates that you have not handled the exponent correctly. You will recall that the equation for distance, using absolute and apparent magnitude is r =

m - M + 5 5. 10

399B2. Polaris has an apparent magnitude of 2.0 and an absolute magnitude of -4.6. Therefore, in this instance, m = \_\_\_\_ and M = \_\_\_\_.

2.0 -4.6

2.3

399B3. When we plug in these values for "m" and "M", the expression  $\left(\frac{m-M+5}{5}\right)$  becomes equal to \_\_\_\_\_.

Proceed, now, to frame 399A2

399C. Your answer: 652 light years is correct.
This is a commendable effort on your part. As you already know, the "mascot" for the project that produced this book is the star Zubenelgenubi. The apparent magnitude of this star is 2.8, and its absolute magnitude is 1.2. From this, we can conclude that Zuberel-genubi is (how far?) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ away (in parsecs).

20 PARSECS Proceed, now, to frame 400

399D. Your enswer: 200 light years is incorrect. The number in your enswer represents the distance to Polaris in parsecs. To convert this to a distance in light years, we must remember that 1 parsec = (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ light years (see frame 59.)

3.26	Proceed, now, to frame 399A9
399±.	Your answer: I do not know what to do with a negative exponent. is, of course, inadequate. If you did the question correctly, you should not have come up with a negative exponent. It is possible that you have the values for "m" and "M" mixed up. You will remem- ber, from frame 373, that "m" represents and "M" represents
APPAR	ENT MAGFITUDE; ABSOLUTE MAGFITUDE
399E2	. The star Polaris has an absolute magnitude of -4.6 and an apparent magnitude of 2.0. Therefore " $m^{"} =a and "M^{"} =a$
2.0;	-4.6
399E3	B. When we substitute these numbers into the expression $\left(\frac{m - M + 5}{F}\right)$ .
	we get ( ) + 5 on the top part of the fraction,
2.0;	-4.6
399E	4. When two "-" signs occur together, they can be replaced by a "+" sign. Hence, 2.0 - (-4.6) + 5 = + 5
6.6	
399E	5. Hence the value of Im - M + 5 ) is now equal to
2,3	
399E	6. Go back, now, to frame 398 and select a better answer.
400.	As another example, consider this: The absolute magnitude of the Sum is approximately 4.8, and the faintest magnitude visable to the un- aided cye is about 6. How far could you go out into space (in par- secs) and still see "home" (iethe Sun).
	(a) 16 parsecs see frame 401A (b) 1.2 parsecs see frame 401B

-74-

see frame 401C

see frame 401D

(c) 6.3 parsecs

(d) I am puzzled

# 401A. Your enswer: 16 persecs is correct You have indicated that you understand how to use the equation: 10 = N + 5 and that you know what apparent and absolute r = 1dmagnitude are. We are now going on to discuss matters related to the temperatures of stars. Temperature is another factor that helps to determine the magnitude of stars. Temperature, therefore, is related to the of stars. Proceed, now, to frame 402 BRIGHTNESS 401B. Your answer: 1.2 persecs is incorrect. m - M + 5 when the correct values for "m" and "M" are put in. The number in your answer represents the solution to the expression However, you will recall that the equation for distance is this: r =401B2. So, then, the number 1.2 is the on the 10. EXPONENT 40183. You should now be able to calculate the correct answer. If you do not feel that you can do this, you would be well advised to review the question in frame 398. If not, return to frame 400 and select a better answer. 401C. Your answer: 6.3 parsecs is incorrect. You have mixed up the values for "m" and "M". This indicates that you probably do not understand the question. You are given, first of all, the absolute magnitude of the Sun which is (see frame 400) 4.8 40102. This means that the value of "", in this case, is \_\_\_\_. 4.8 40103. The question asks how far from the sun you would have to be for it to appear to be of magnitude 6. In other words, what is asked for, then, is the distance at which the Sun would have to be to have an apparent magnitude of (how much?)

6	
4010.	A. Hence, the value for "m" is, in this case, equal to (what number?)
6	
4010	5. All that we do now is substitute these values for "m" and "M" into the equation r =
10	- M + 5 Proceed, now, to frame 401B3
1010	Your anguar. Ilm mussled
4010	is, of course, inadequate. It is obvious that you do not understand the question. First of all, you are informed that the absolute magnitude of the Sun is (see frame 400)
4.8	Proceed, now, to frame 401C2
402.	To understand matters relating to the temperatures of stars, it is important that you understand something about light. Consider the following experiment: The passing of white light through a prism results in a band of different colours (see diagram below).
	WHIGHT SPECTRUM SPECTRUM RED WHIGHT PRISM SPECTRUM Green BLUE VIOLET
	different •
COLO	RS .
403.	This observation is, in fact, quite correct: There are, in fact, different "kinds" of light. Our eyes can detect different kinds of light by seeing different
COLOU	RS
404.	Colours of objects which emit light are related to their temperatures ielight sources of different temperatures emit different colours of light. Assuming that stars are different temperatures, do you now think that the assumption that we made in frame 363 (that all stars are the same colour) is correct? (a) Yes see frame 405A (b) No see frame 405B (c) Only if stars are the same colour see frame 405C

-76-

#### 405A. Your answer: Yes

is incorrect.

We have already pointed out that stars have different outside temperatures and that colour varies with temperature. Hence, it is reasonable to assume that stars are different

## COLOURS

405A2. Go back, now, and choose a better answer (frame 404).

405B. Your answer: No

is correct.

Stars, by virtue of the fact that they have different "outside" temperatures, are different colours, These colours generally vary along the spectrum from the red end to the blue end. "Reddish" colours indicate lower temperatures. Conversoly, "bluish" colours indicate temperatures.

HIGHER Proceed, now, to frame 206

405C. Your answer: Only if stars are the same colour indicates that you are obviously not thinking clearly. This, in fact, would be a good time for you to take a break if it has been a long time since you have done so. This, as you probably recognize, is another abort frame. Please take a break, then resume your work in the program starting from frame 404.

406. The progression of colours, as temperature increases, is generally as follows: Red → Yellow → White → Blue. In other words, the "outside" temperature of the Sun (a yellowish star) is (greater/less) then that of a bluish coloured star, and (greater/less) than that of a reddish coloured star.

LESS GREATER

407. Looking out at the night sky, all stars appear to be white. However we know that this is not really the case, because stars have different and arc, therefore, different colours.

### "OUTSIDE" TEMPERATURES

408. The fact that stars appear to be white in the night sky is more a property of the human eye than of the stars themselves. You know from your own experience, for instance, that, as dusk approaches, your ability to see colour (increases/decreases)

#### DECREASES

409. Therefore, the human eye does not see colour well when there is (sich) little) \_\_\_\_\_\_ light to see.

### LITTLE

10 In Astronomy, colours of stars are described in terms of sometcing called <u>spectral class</u>. It should be clear that spectral class elso describes the of stars.

#### TEMPERATURES

411. Different spectral classes are distinguished by naming them using different letters of the alphabet. The following spectral classes will be of concern to us here: 0; B; A; F; G; K; M. These are listed in order from the more "bluish" stars(0) to the more "reddish" stars (M). For example, a star of spectral class K would be (more/ less) bluish than reddish.

### LESS

412. A conveniant mneumonic device for remembering these spectral classes is to fit the letters into a sentence, such as:"O Be A Fine Girl, Kiss Me."; remembering that the sequence:O; B; A; F; G; K; M, goes from (what colour?) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ stars to (what colour?) stars.

BLUISH; REDDISH

213. The sentence: "O be a fine girl, kiss me." is a good memory aid for recalling the spectral classes of stars which are represented by the following sequence of letters:

O, B, A, F, G, K, M

OH BE A FINE GIRL, KISS ME; O, B, A, F, G, K, M; BLUISH; REDDISH

415. Information concerning the colours of stars also tells us something about their

"OUTSIDE" TEMPERATURES

416. We have now come to the point where we can talk about a relationship that exists between spectral class and magnitude. Magnitude, as you recall, is a way of talking about the of stars.

#### BRIGHTNESS



#### 417(cont...) .

When the values (for absolute conitude and spectral class) for most stars are plotted, they fall into the region described by the shaded area. This area is known as the main sequence (the position of the Sun on the main sequence is shown on the diagram). What this relationship means is that the more "reddish" a star is, the (brighter/fainter) \_\_\_\_\_\_ it will tend to be.

#### FAINTER

418. You will also remember that spectral class describes temperatures of stars in that the "outside" temperatures of "bluish" stars are (higher/lower) than those of "reddish" stars.

#### HIGHER

419. Therefore, the relationship depicted in frame 417 can also be stated in another way by saying that, the higher the "outside" temperature of a star, the (greater/less) \_\_\_\_\_\_ will tend to be its brightness.

#### GREATER

420. Before we review the concepts that we have covered in this section on stars, it is important that we discuss one more feature of stars: their masses. You will recall that we are able to determine the mass of the nearest star to us (the Sun)by using (see frame 338)

#### KEPLER'S THIRD LAW

421. Kepler's third law is stated methematically, in the following way:

 $\frac{a^3}{p^2} = \frac{G}{4\pi^2} \left( \frac{M_1 + M_2}{1 + M_2} \right)$ 422. In the above equation, "a" represents \_\_\_\_\_, "p" represents \_\_\_\_\_, "G" represents \_\_\_\_\_\_ and "M2" rep-"p" represents resent DISTANCE; PERIOD; THE GRAVITATIONAL CONSTANT; THE MASSES OF TWO BODIES 423. In the expression for Kepler's third law, the letters \_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ represent variables --ie.--numbers that change with the examples we are considering.

a; p; M7; M2

424, In the case of the one example which we have already considered (the Sun), we are able to observe the motions of planets associated with it. In the case of any particular planet, we know its distance from the Sun ---ie.---the value for the variable \_\_\_\_\_\_ and the length of time it takes to orbit the Sun once ---ie.-- the value for the variable \_\_\_\_\_.

#### a; p

425. From knowing values for these two variables, it is possible to calculate those for the other two: ie.-- and \_\_\_\_.

# M1 1 M2

426. That is to say, it is possible to say something about the masses of the Sun and one of its planets, by knowing the \_\_\_\_\_\_ bet-ween them and the \_\_\_\_\_\_ associated with their motions.

DISTANCE; PERIOD

427. Unfortunately, we do not, at present, have the facilities to observe planets around other stars (if, indeed, they do exist). However, estimates concerning the masses of some of them are still possible using the same tool that we used to make this kind of estimate for the Sun: That is, we again use

### KEPLER'S THIRD LAW

428. Most of the stars whose masses we can estimate in this way, are part of binary systems. A binary system is an instance where two stars exist in close proximity to one another, in such a way that the gravitational attraction between them can be studied. In a way similar to the one which we used to calculate the mass of the Sun, we can determine the masses of stars in a binary system by knowing the between them and the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_associated with their regular motions with respect to one another.

DISTANCE; PERIOD

429. It should be obvious that the regular motion observed for two stars in a binary system is a result of \_\_\_\_\_\_ attraction between them.

#### GRAVITATIONAL

430. That is, for a binary system, we know the values for the variables \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ in the Kepler's third law equation (which is

From this, we can calculate the values for the variables and

 $\frac{a^{3}}{p^{2}} = \frac{G}{4\pi^{2}} \left( M_{1} + M_{2} \right) ; M_{1}; M_{2}$ a; p;

431. Most of the stars whose masses have been calculated in this way, fall within the range: .1 to 100 solar masses, where 1 solar mass is is equal to \_\_\_\_\_.

THE MASS OF THE SUN

432. From this we can conclude that the Sun is a (small/middle/large) sized star, where mass is concerned.

SMALL

433. It is now time to review some of the concepts that we have learned in this segment which has dealt mostly with objects called

STARS.

434. One example of a star (the one with which we are most familiar) is called \_\_\_\_\_.

SUN

435. It is possible to make a rough calculation regarding how long we expect the Sun to last by knowing its

POWER OUTPUT

436. The centimeter (cn.) is a unit of

DISTATCE

437. The gram (g.) is a unit of

MASS

438. The equation relating Power to Energy is as follows:

POWER = ENERGY/TIME

439. Two units for Energy are the and the .

JOULE EDG

440. One unit for Power is the

TTAW

441. 1 Watt = (how many?) Joules/sec. = (how many?) Ergs/sec.

1; 107

442. The equation for the surface area of a sphere is the following:

 $\Lambda = 4\pi r^2$ 

443. Einstein's equation relating matter to Energy is as follows:

 $E = mc^2$ 

444. A number, in scientific notation, is written as: k X 10<sup>n</sup>, where "n" represents \_\_\_\_\_\_, and "k" represents \_\_\_\_\_\_.

THE VALUE OF SOME EXPONENT; A NUMBER GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1 AND LESS THAN 10

445. In Astronomy, the brightness of things is talked about in terms of something called

MAGNITUDE

446. Two kinds of magnitude are and

APPARENT MAGNITUDE; ABSOLUTE MAGNITUDE

447. Apparent magnitude refers to \_\_\_\_\_\_ while absolute magnitude is defined as \_\_\_\_\_\_

THE BRIGHTNESS OF OBJECTS AS THEY AFPEAR TO US; THE AFPARENT MAGNITUDE AN OBJECT WOULD HAVE AT A DISTANCE OF 10 PARSECS

448. An equation which allows us to calculate distances, knowing absolute and apparent magnitude, is the following:

$$r = 10^{1} \cdots 5^{5}$$

449. In the above equation, "m" represents "M" rep-

APPARENT MAGNITUDE; ABSOLUTE MAGNITUDE; DISTANCE (IN PARSECS)

450. For stars, colour is related to

"OUTSIDE" TEMPER.TURE

451. The more "blue" a star is the (greater/less) will be its outside temperature, while the more "red" a star is, the (greater/less) will be this temperature.

GREATER; LESS

-82-

452. Stars are classified this way in terms of something called

-----

SPECTRAL CLASS

453. Seven spectral classes from the blue to the red end of the spectrum can be listed consecutively as follows:

O, B, F, G, K, M

454. The higher the "outside" temperature of a star, the more (red/blue) the star will tend to be, and the (brighter/fainter) the star will tend to appear.

BLUE; BRIGHTER

455. The masses of some stars can be calculated by using

KEPLER'S THIRD LAW

456. Most of the stars whose masses we can calculate in this way are part of

#### BINARY SYSTEMS

- 457. You have completed this section of the program on stars. If you have not taken a break recently, we suggest that you do so before continuing on in the program.
- 458. This final segment of the program will be a relatively short one. Its aim is to help you come to some understanding of the structure of the Universe as we know it. Hopefully it will also allow you to review some of the concepts you have learned previously. One type of object that helps to make up the Universe is something we have already discussed in some detail. These light emitting sources are called

#### STARS

#### GLIAXY

460. The Sun is situated in one of these vast collections of stars called the Milky Way galaxy. Our galaxy (which is shaped like a disk or "plane") contains approximately 200 billion stars. In scientific notation, we would express this as (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ stars.

2.0 X 10<sup>11</sup>

- 461. This is quite a large number. In fact it has often been remarked that there are more stars in our galaxy than there have been people who have ever lived on the face of this planet. Numbers such as this are extremely hard to visualize. To help you out in this respect, we are going to develop a few models of the galaxy based on things with which you are familiar in your every day life. At this point, we would like you to go back to frame 61 and do the question presented there. When you are sure that you have the correct enswer, continue on with the material in frame 462.
- 462. You will remember, from frame 61, that the number of solar system diameters between our Sun and the nearest star is (how many?)

### 3,160

463. If we were now to construct a model of our own region of space, with the diameter of our solar system being represented by a distance of 1 inch, then the next nearest star, on this scale, would be (how many?) inches away.

### 3,160

464. In other words, on this scale, the next nearest star is (how many?) yards (rounded off to one decimal place) away.(l yard = 36 inches)

### 87.8

465. This is almost the length of a football field. In other words, the nearest star to our system is almost as distant as the length of a football field, on a scale that would have the diameter of the solar system represented by a distance of \_\_\_\_\_.

### 1 INCH

466. If we assume that the diameter of the Sun is 1/100 A.U.s , how large would it be, on the same scale (remembering that the diameter of our solar system is 80 A.U.s, and that this diameter is represented by a distance of one inch.)?

(a)	1/80	inch.	see	frame	4671
(b)	1/8,000	inch.	see	frame	467B
(c)	1/100	inch.	see	frame	467C
(d)	I do not	know.	see	frame	467 <b>D</b>

### 467A. Your answer: 1/80 inch.

is incorrect. This answer would only be right if the diameter of the Sun was 1 A.U. That is, if the diameter of the solar system (80 A.U.s) is represented by 1 inch, a distance of 1 A.U. would be represented by  $1/\____$  of this distance, or (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.

80; 1/80

4.7A2. However the diameter of the Sun is only 1/100 A.U., where 1 A.U. is represented on our scale, you will recall, as (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.

1780

467A3. That is to say, the diameter of the Sun, on our scale, would be represented by 1/100 X = inches.

1/80; 1/8,000

46714. Go back, now, to frame 466 and choose the correct answer.

467B. Your answer: 1/3,000 inch.

is correct.

You realize immediately that a diameter such as this would be much too small to be perceptable, so let us try something else: Let us represent the size of the Sun by a grain of sand. The kind of sand we are working with is of such a size that 20 grains of it, lined up side by side, in a straight line, would measure 1 inch in length. That is, the length of one grain of sand is (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.

1/20

Proceed, now, to frame 468

467C. Your answer: 1/100 inch.

is incorrect.

Your answer would only be right if the diameter of the solar system was 1 A.D. In this case, the Sun, being 1/100 A.U. in diameter, and the diameter of the solar system being represented by one inch, the diameter of the Sun would be represented by (how many?) inches.

#### 17100

46702. However the diameter of the solar system is 80 A.U.s. That is, if the diameter of the solar system is to be represented by 1 inch, then a distance of 1 A.U. would be represented by 1/ \_\_\_\_\_ of this distance or (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.

80; 1/80 Proceed, now, to frame 467/2

467D. Your answer: I do not know

is, of course, insufficient. Let us develop the correct answer: We are representing the diameter of the solar system (a distance of 80 A.U.s) by a distance of one inch. On this scale, a distance of 1 A.V. would be represented by 1/\_\_\_\_\_\_ of this distance or (how many?)\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.

80; 1/80 Proceed, now, o frame 467A2.

1720

469. You will recall that the actual diameter of the Sun is (how many?)

### 1/100

470. What this means, then, is that, in our model, a distance of 1/100 A.U.s is represented by (how many?) inches.

1720

471. On this same scale, a distance of 1 L.U. would be represented by (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ times as much as this(ie.--the distance in the previous frame), or (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.

100; 5

472. As you will recall, the solar system is (how meny?) \_\_\_\_\_ A.U.s in diameter.

80

473. So, then, the diameter of the solar system, on this scale, would be represented by X = inches.

80; 5; 400

474. This means that, if the Sun's size were to be represented by a grain of send (ic.--the diameter of the Sun is represented by a distance of 1/20 inches) then the solar system would be (how many?) yards. (rounded off to one decimal place) across. (1 yard = 36 inches)

11.1

475. You will recall, from frame 61, that the number of Astronomical units in one light year is (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ and that the nearest star to our system is (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ light years distant.

63,200; 4

476. This allows you to conclude, then, that the distance from here to the nearest star outside our solar system is  $X = \frac{1}{\Lambda \cdot U \cdot s}$ .

63,200; 4; 252,800

477. On our scale (where the size of the Sun is represented by a grain of sand 1/20 inches across) a distance of 1 A.U. is represented by (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.

5

478. A distance of 252,800 L.U.s then, would be represented by \_\_\_\_\_ X

### 252,800; 5, 1,264,000

479. In other words, on the scale which we are using, the distance to the nearest star outside our own solar system would be (how many?) miles (rounded off to one decimal place) in length. (1 mile = 63,360 inches)

19.9

480. At this point; we are going back and consider, once again, the number of stars in our galaxy which, as you will recall (see frame 460) is (how many?)

200 Billion or 2.0 X 10<sup>11</sup>

17100

1720

483. To demonstrate how large a number like

-210 X 10<sup>11</sup> is, we are going to attempt to pack all of the grains of sand that represent stars in our galaxy, into one pile. The size of this pile will, hopefully, provide you with some conception of the meaning of a number like

2.0 X 10 which, as you remember, represents

THE MUMBER OF STARS IN THE MILLY WAY GALAXY

484. If we essume that each of the grains of sand that we are using to represent a star in our galaxy, is roughly cube-shaped, then each side of the grain of sand will measure (how much ?) in length.

1/20 INCHES

485. The volume of a cube (is.--the amount of space it occupies) can be calculated by multiplying its length times its width times its height. In the example we are considering, length, width, and height are all equal to (how mcuh?)

1/20 INCHES

486. Hence, the volume of one grain of sand is given by the expression: X X cubic inches.

<sup>432.</sup> On the scale we have been working with, then, the stars in our galaxy would be represented by grains of sand each of which would be (how many?) inches in length.

1/20; 1/20; 1/20

487. When the above multiplication is performed, the result is the number:

178,000

488. This number (1/8,000) represents the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of one grain of cand in (what units?)

VOLUME; CUBIC INCHES

489.

From this, we can conclude that 2.0 X 10<sup>11</sup> grains packed together in one pile would have a volume of \_\_\_\_\_\_ X \_\_\_\_\_ cubic inches.

2.0 X 10<sup>11</sup>; 1/8,000

490. When we perform the multiplication indicated in the previous frame, we come up with the fraction:

2.0 X 10<sup>11</sup>; 8,000

491. The bottom part of this fraction can be written, in scientific notation, as \_\_\_\_\_.

8.0 X 10<sup>3</sup>

492. This fraction, then, can now be written as 7

2.0 X 10<sup>11</sup>; 8.0 X 10<sup>3</sup>

493. If we now divide the top part of this fraction by the bottom part, the result (in scientific notation) is the number

2.5 X 10<sup>7</sup>

494.

This number (2.5 X 10'), represents the \_\_\_\_\_\_ in (what units?) of (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ grains of sand packed together in one pile.

VOLUME; CUBIC INCHES; 2.0 X 10<sup>11</sup>

495. Let us suppose that we wish to pile this amount of sand on a lot 100 feet long by 25 feet wide. The dimensions of this let, in inches, are: (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ inches long by (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ inches wide.

-83-

#### 1200; 300

496. We know that the volume of sand that we are going to pile on this lot will be equal to (how much?)

### 2.5 X 107 CUBIC INCHES

497. Therefore, in the expression Volume = Length X Width X Height, we know the values for the variables \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_

#### VOLUME; LENGTH; WIDTH

498. When we substitute these numbers into the equation above, for volume, the result is the expression: (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ cubic inches = (How many?) \_\_\_\_\_ inches X (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ inches X \_\_\_\_\_

### 2.5 X 107; 1200; 300; HEIGHT

499. The numbers 1200 and 300 are written, in scientific notation, as and \_\_\_\_\_\_ respectively.

### $1.2 \times 10^3$ ; 3.0 × $10^2$

500. The result of the multiplication of those two numbers is the number .(in scientific notation)

### 3.6 X 10<sup>5</sup>

501. Our expression for Volume, then, can now be written as: (how many?) cubic inches = (how many?) square inches X

## 2.5 X 107; 3.6 X 105; HEIGHT

502. To determine an expression for the variable HEIGHT, we must divide each side of the above equation by (how much?)

#### 3.6 X 10<sup>5</sup> SQUARE INCHES

503. When this is done, it is now possible to express the equation as HEIGHT = /

2.5 X 10<sup>7</sup> CUBIC INCHES; 3.6 X 10<sup>5</sup> SQUARE INCHES

504. When we perform the division indicated in the above frame, the result is the number \_\_\_\_\_\_(in scientific notation with the decimal portion rounded off to one decimal place).

### 6.9 X 10<sup>1</sup>

505. This number (69) is the \_\_\_\_\_\_ in (what units?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ of a box-shaped pile of sand on a lot whose dimensions are (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ feet long, by (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ feet wide, where each grain of sand represents \_\_\_\_\_\_.

HEIGHT; INCHES; 100; 25; A STAR IN THE MILKY WAY GALAXY

506. The height in the above frame (69 inches) is equal to (how many?) feet (rounded off to one decimal place).

#### 5.8

507. In other words, if we were to represent each star in our galaxy by a grain of sand (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_ inches long, we could pack all of this sand into a pile of dimensions: (how long?) \_\_\_\_\_, by (how wide?) \_\_\_\_\_, by (how high?)

1/20; 100 FEET; 25 FEET; 5.8 FEET

508. Stars are not packed together like this, in our galaxy, however. You will recall that the distance between the Sun and the nearest star to to it, on this same scale (ie.--where stars are represented by grains of sand) is (how long?) (see frame 479).

19.9 MILES

509. The length of the galaxy is about 100,000 light years. This can be expressed, in scientific notation, as (how many?) . light years.

### 1.0 X 10<sup>5</sup>

510. You will recall, from carlier in this segment (see frame 475) that 1 light year = (how many?) A.U.s.

63,200

511. This number (63,200) can be expressed, in scientific notation, as (with the decimal part of it rounded off to one decimal place).

### 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>

512. We can now calculate the distance, in A.U.s, from one end of the Milky Way Galaxy to the other: This is given by the expression: X\_\_\_\_\_\_A.U.s .

# 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>; 1.0 X 10<sup>5</sup>

513. When we do the multiplication indicated above, the result is the number (in scientific notation).

6.3 X 10<sup>9</sup>

514. This number (6.3 X 10<sup>9</sup>) represents the \_\_\_\_\_ of the Milky Way Galaxy in (what units?)

LENGTH; ASTRONOMICAL UNITS (A.U.S)

515. You will recall, from earlier in this segment (see frame 471) that a distance of 1 A.U. on the scale we are using, is equal to (how much?)

5 INCHES

516. Therefore, on this same scale, a distance of 6.3 X  $10^9$  A.U.s would be represented by  $X_{\text{notation}} = 10^9$  inches (in Scientific notation, with the decimal portion rounded off to one decimal place).

6.3 X 10<sup>9</sup>; 5: 3.2 X 10<sup>10</sup>

- 517. You will recall (see frame 479) that 1 mile is equal to (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_ inches.
- 63360
- 518. This number can be expressed, in scientific notation, with the decimal portion of it rounded off to one decimal place, as (how many?) inches.

### 6.3 × 104

519. Remembering that the diameter of our model of the Galaxy, in inches, is (how much?) \_\_\_\_\_, you should be able to calculate that this same diameter, in miles, will be \_\_\_\_\_\_ niles.

# 3.2 X 10<sup>10</sup>; 3.2 X 10<sup>10</sup>; 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>

520. Mhen the division, indicated in the above frame, is performed, the result is the number (in scientific notation, with the decimal portion rounded off to one decimal place).

## 5.1 X 10<sup>5</sup>

521. This number (510,000) represents the where individual stars are represented by grains of sand (how much?) in length.

THE DIAMETER OF A MODEL OF THE MILKY WAY GALAXY IN MILES; 1/20 INCHES

522. 510,000 miles is approximately equal to the diameter of the Moon's orbit around the Earth. Our model of the Galaxy, then, (would/would not) \_\_\_\_\_\_ be very practical to construct.

WOULD NOT

523. For the next few frames, we are going to discuss the contents of galaxies. You are already familiar with one of the contents -- these light emitting sources are called

#### STARS

524. Sometimes, stars cluster together into groups within our galaxy. The term applied to such collections of stars is derived from the fact that the stars \_\_\_\_\_\_ together.

### CLUSTER

525. Collections like this are, in fact, called

CLUSTERS

526. When clusters appear within the plane of the galaxy, they are called, for obvious reasons, "Galactic ".

### CLUSTERS

527. Galactic clusters are usually quite irregular in shape. If, for example, you look through a tel escope at a cluster that has what you conclude to be a definite spherical shape, you can be reasonably certain that you are not looking at a

#### GALACTIC CLUSTER

528. Clusters that are spherical in shape (which are also part of our galaxy) usually reside outside the plane of the galaxy. Since clusters like these consist of stars which are associated with each other in a globular (from the word "globe") arrangement, they are called

### GLOBULAR CLUSTERS

529. Globular clusters differ from Galactic clusters in that Galactic clusters are \_\_\_\_\_\_ in shape.

#### IRREGULAR

530. Globular clusters are, however, \_\_\_\_\_ or \_\_\_\_ in shape.

SPHERICAL; GLOBULAR

531. Another difference is that exist outside the plane of the galaxy, exist within the Galactic plane.

GLOBULAR CLUSTERS; GALACTIC CLUSTERS

532. In the night sky, it is sometimes possible to see a band of light which is called the "Milky Way". This band of light represents the part of our galaxy that is visable to us from our position in it. "Milky Way" is also the name of

THE GALAXY IN WHICH WE LIVE

533. If a friend now informs you that he has located a cluster in the Milk Way with his telescope, more often then not, he would have found a

GALACTIC CLUSTER

534. If, in fact, your friend has found a galactic cluster, you can expect when you look into his telescope, to see a collection of stars with a(n) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ shape.

IRREGULAR

535. If, on the other hand, your friend locates a cluster whose shape is spherical, it is extremely likely that he has found a

### GLOBULAR CLUSTER

GAS CLOUD

537. As a simplification, there are three main types of nebulae, each defined in terms of what they do with light: One type of nebula emits (or "sends out") light. It is called an <u>emission</u> nebula. Another kind of nebula <u>reflects</u> light. This kind of nebula would be called a <u>nebula</u>.

### REFLECTION

538. A third kind of Nebula absorbs light. We would call this kind of nebula an

+ 1 -= 1

ABSORPTION MEBULA

539. Of these three types of nebulae, the kinds that we can see light coming from are \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_.

100

EMISSION MEBULAE; REFLECTION MEBULAE

540. These kinds of Nebulae would be visable in this way because they either or light.

EMIT; REFLECT

541. On the other hand, \_\_\_\_\_\_ would not send light directly to our eyes, because they \_\_\_\_\_\_ light.

ABSORPTION MEBULAE; ABSORB

542. From Earth, we can see . only a small fraction of the stars in our own galaxy. One reason to explain the fact that we can not see the rest of these stars, is that the light from them becomes in

ABSORBED; ABSORPTION NEBULAE

543. In review, our galaxy consists of \_\_\_\_\_, some of which collect together into groups called \_\_\_\_\_, and gas clouds called

STARS; CLUSTERS; MEBULAE

544. Two types of clusters are called \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_

GALACTIC CLUSTERS; GLOBULAR CLUSTERS

545. Gelactic clusters tend to exist (where?) and arc exist (where?) in shape, whereas Globular clusters tend to and are in shape.

WITHIN THE PLANE OF THE GALAXY; IRREGULAR; OUTSIDE THE PLANE OF THE GALAXY SPHERICAL

546. Three types of Nebulae are called \_\_\_\_

and

EMISSION MEBULAE; REFLECTION MEBULAE; ABSORPTION MEBULAE

547. These types are defined in terms of what the nebulae do with

LIGHT

548. An emission nebula, for example, \_\_\_\_\_ light, a reflection nebula \_\_\_\_\_ light, and an c bsorption nebula \_\_\_\_\_ light. EMITS; REFLECTS; ABSORBS 549. "Milky Way" is the name given to which of the following? (a)  $\Lambda$  cluster within our galaxy see frame 550A (b) A nebula that emits light set frame 550B (c) A Galaxy see frame 550C (d) A band of light in the night sky sec frame 550D (e) Both (c) and (d) see frame 550E (f) A chocolate bar see frame 550F 550A. Your answer: A cluster within our galaxy is incorrect. You have obviously worked too quickly through the section on clusters. Go back and do the sequence from frame 523 to 535 again. Then proceed to frame 549 and select a better answer. 550B. Your enswer: A nebula that emits light is incorrect. You have obviously worked too quickly through the section on nebulae. Go back and do the sequence from frame 536 to 542 again. Also, you would be well advised to review the contents of frame 532. After you have done this, proceed to frame 549 and select a better answor. 550C. Your answer: A Galaxy is incomplete. If you do not know why, go back and review the contents of frame 532. Otherwise proceed to frame 549 and select a better answer. 550D. Your answer: A band of light in the night sly is incomplete. If you do not know why, go back and review the contents of frame 532. Otherwise, proceed to frame 549 and select a better answer. 550E. Your answer: both (c) and (d) is correct. We will now go on to telk about other galaxies. You will remember that a galaxy is simply a \_ VERY LARGE COLLECTION OF STARS Proceed, now, to frame 551 551. The Galaxy in which we live is known as the MILKY WAY GALAXY Proceed, now, to frame .552 . Your answer: a chocolate bar is not incorrect, but it is quite out of context. This is the last 550F. abort frame that appears in this book. If you feel it to be appropriate, you may take a break at this point before continuing on through the "home stretch" of this program.

552. We are aware of about a billion other Galaxies as well. The nearest major galaxy to our's --- called the Andromeda galaxy, is about 2.1

X 10<sup>6</sup> light years eway. You will recall that, on the scale that we have been using (where a star is represented by a grain of sand 1/20 inches across) a distance of 1 A.U. was represented by (how much?) (see frame 471).

5 INCHES

553. Knowing this fact, along with the facts that: 1 light year = 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>A.U.s; and 1 mile = 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup> inches; how far away would the Andromeda galaxy be on our scale (in miles)? (a) 1.1 X 10<sup>7</sup> miles (b) 1.3 X 10<sup>11</sup> miles (c) 6.5 X 10<sup>11</sup> miles see frame 554A see frame 554B see frame 554C (d) I do not know see frame 554D 554A. Your answer: 1.1 X 107 miles is correct. If the decimal pert of your enswer is different from this by one tenth, do not be concerned: You are correct as well; you have just done the operations in a slightly different order. The distance you have calculated is about 1/4 the distance from Earth to the planet Mars when both planets and the Sun arc in a straight line (with the Earth in the middle). In other words, if we were to construct a model of our part of space on the scale we have been using, would fit just inside the orbit of the the Moon about the Earth; and the would be as far away as 1/4 the distance to the orbit of Mars. MILKY MAY GALAXY; ANDROMEDA GALAXY Proceed, now, to frame 555. 554B. Your answer: 1.3 X 10<sup>11</sup> miles is incorrect. You have part of the answer, however. What you have calculated is the distance to the Andromeda Galaxy in A.U.s (calculated by multiplying 2.1 X 10<sup>6</sup> times 6.3 X 10<sup>7</sup>). You will recall, however, that, on our scale, a distance of 1 A.U. is represented by a distance of 5 INCHES 554B2. Therefore, on our model, the distance to Andromeda would be represented by a distance of X = inches,

5; 1.3 X 10<sup>11</sup>; 6.5 X 10<sup>11</sup>

554B3. This distance can be converted into one in miles, remembering that l mile = (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_inches (see frame 553).

6.3 X 104

6.5 X 10<sup>11</sup>; 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>; 1.0 X 10<sup>7</sup>

554B5. If the question we have considered was done in a slightly different way, the decimal part of the answer could differ by one tenth. Either answer, however, can be considered to be correct. In either case, this number represents

THE DISTANCE TO ANDROMEDA (IN MILES) ON OUR MODEL

554B6. Go back, now, to frame 553 and choose the correct answer.

554C. Your answer: 6.5 X 10<sup>11</sup>miles is incorrect.

the distance, in inches, to Andromeda, on our model. This can be converted to a distance, in miles, remembering that 1 mile = (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ inches (see frame 553).

6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>

Proceed, now, to frame 554B4

554D. Your answer: I do not know is, of course, inadequate. Let us construct the correct answer: The distance to Andromeda is 2.1 X 10 light years. You will recall that 1 light year is equal to (how many?) A.U.s (see frame 553).

### 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>

554D2. Therefore, the distance from here to Andromeda, in Astronomical Units is \_\_\_\_\_\_X \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.(in scientific notation, with the decimal portion rounded off to one decimal place.)

2.1 X 10<sup>6</sup>; 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>; 1.3 X 10<sup>11</sup>

554D3. You will recall that, on our scale, a distance of 1 A.U. is represented by a distance of

5 INCHES

Proceed, now, to frame 554B2
555. The limit to how far out in the Universe we can "see" is, at present, about 12 billion light years. Everything within this distance constitutes what is known as the "observable universe". The "observable universe" extends outward to a distance of (how far?) (in scientific notation).

1.2 X 10<sup>10</sup> LIGHT YEARS

556. How far away, then, would the "edge" of the "observable universe" be, on our scale (in miles)?
(a) 3.8 X 10<sup>15</sup> miles see frame 557A
(b) 6.0 X 10<sup>10</sup> miles see frame 557B
(c) 7.6 X 10<sup>14</sup> miles see frame 557C
(d) I do not know seo frame 557D

557A. Your answer: 3.8 X 10<sup>-5</sup> miles is incorrect. You have part of the enswer, however. What you have calculated is the distance, in inches, to the "edge" of the "observable universe" on our model. This can be converted to a distance, in miles, remembering that 1 mile = (how many?) inches (see frame 553).

6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>

557A2. Therefore, the distance to the "edge" of the "observable universe" on our model is \_\_\_\_\_/ = \_\_\_\_\_ miles. (in scientific notation with the decimal part rounded off to one decimal place.)

3.8 X  $10^{15}$ ; 6.3 X  $10^{4}$ ; 6.0 X  $10^{10}$ 

557A3. This number (6.0 X 10<sup>10</sup>) represents

THE DISTANCE TO THE "EDGE" OF THE "OBSERVABLE UNIVERSE", IN MILES, ON OUR MODEL

557A4. Go back, now, to frame 556 and choose the correct answer.

557B. Your answer: 6.0 X 10<sup>10</sup> miles is correct. This is quite a large distance. It represents almost 8 times the diameter of the solar system. In other words, if we were to construct a model of the "observable universe" on the scale we have been using, the \_\_\_\_\_\_ would fit just inside the orbit of the Moon about the Earth; \_\_\_\_\_\_ would fit just inside the orbit of the distance to the orbit of Mars; and the \_\_\_\_\_\_ would be a solar system diameters away.

-98-

MILKY WAT GALAXY; ANDROMEDA GALAXY; "EDGE" OF THE "OBSERVABLE UNIVERSE" Proceed, now, to frame 558

557C. Your answer: 7.6 X 10<sup>14</sup>miles is incorrect. You have part of the answer, however. What you have calculated is the distance to the "edge" of the "observable universe" in Astronomical Units (calculated by multiplying 1.2 X 10<sup>1</sup> times 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>). You will recall, however, that, on our scale, a distance of 1 A.U. is represented by a distance of

### 5 INCHES

5; 7.6 X 10<sup>14</sup>; 3.8 X 10<sup>15</sup>

557C3. This can be converted to a distance in miles remembering that 1 mile = (how many?) inches (see frame 553).

6.3 X 104 Proceed, now, to frame 557A2

557D. Your answer: I do not know is, of course, inadequate. Let us construct the correct answer: The distance to the "edge" of the "observable universe" is 1.2 X 10<sup>10</sup>light years. You will recall that 1 light year = (how many?) \_\_\_\_\_\_A.U.s (see frame 553).

## 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>

557D2. Therefore, the distance, from here to the "edge" of the "observable universe", in Astronomical Units, is X = (in scientific notation with the decimal portion rounded off to one decimal place).

1.2 X 10<sup>10</sup>; 6.3 X 10<sup>4</sup>; 7.6 X 10<sup>14</sup>

557D3. You will recall that, on our scale, a distance of 1 A.U. is represented by a distance of

5 INCHES

Proceed, now, to frame 557C2

558. So far, we have attempted, in this book, to acquaint you with some principles of Modern Astronomy. This has been done for the purpose of furthering your knowledge of the Universe, as we know it. On the basis of what you have learned, up to this point, which of the following conclusions, in your opinion, can be accepted?

(a)	The Universe is Finite (with end)	see	frame	5591
(b)	The Universe is Infinite (without end)	see	frame	559B
(c)	The Universe constitutes a molecule of			
	water inside a huge goldfish bowl	see	frame	559C
(d)	I do not know	see	frame	559D

559A. Your answer: The Universe is Finite is incorrect. At no point does any of the information we have presented in these pages lead to the conclusion you have drawn. Go back to frame 558 and select a better answer.

- 559B. Your answer: The Universe is Infinite is incorrect. At no point does any of the information we have presented in these pages lead to the conclusion you have drawn. Go back to frame 558 and select ε better answer.
- 559C. Your answer: The Universe constitutes a molecule of water inside a huge goldfish bowl.

Ha, ha, ha, ha, ha, ha!!!! Hee-hee-hee, Ho, ko, ha, ha, ha, ha, he-he!! Ho-ho-ho-bo, chuckle, chuckle, chuckle!!!!! Snort! Ha-ha-ha-ha!!! Chortle,-chuckle, he, he, he, ho-ho, ha-ha-ha-ha!!! Chortle-chortle!! He-he-he-he-he, Ho, ho, ho, Ha-ha-ha-ha-ha!!!! Snort!! Chuckle, Chuckle, Ho-ho-ho-ho, Ha, ha, ha, ha, ha, Chuckle-chortle, ha, ha...

The above is a very implicit way of indicating that the conclusion you have drawn does not follow from any premises which we are familiar with. Go back to frame 558 and select a better answer.

559D. Your answer: I do not know

is correct !!!

You have realized that the question "Does there have to be an end to the Universe?" does not have an answer at present, particularly on the basis of information presented in this book. Unfortunately, there still exist many people who believe that they can answer this question and others like it (with as little information). The authors of this book think that it is quite possible for things to be infinite however, for the conveniance of everyone concerned, the authors, after much deliberation, formelly declare this book to be FINITE....

#### Notes:

- 1. Miles por hour means miles/hour or miles divided by hours.
- 2. By the "same exponential notation" we mean numbers that are written as the same base to some exponent. For example, the numbers 5 and 5 are in the "same exponential notation". In this example, the base is the number 5.
- Implicit in our rule for division in exponentialA is the fact that the exponent of the number you are dividing by is subtracted from that of the number you are dividing into. For example  $5^6 = 5^{(6-2)} = 5^4$ .
- It is assumed that the conversion of hydrogen to helium is the only energy producing process that will ever take place in the Sun. This is a good assumption for our purposes; however it is not believed to be correct.
- It is assumed that all available hydrogen will be consumed in this process. This is not believed to be correct. However the simplicity of this assumption makes this segment much less confusing.

# For further information.....

#### ASSOCIATIONS

A.A.V.S.O. (American Association of Variable Star Observers), 187 Concord Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, U.S.A.

A.L.P.O. (Association of Lunar and Planetary Observers), University Park, New Mexico 88001, U.S.A.

A.M.S. (American Meteor Society), c/o Dr. Charles Olivier, 527 N. Wynnewood Avenue, Narberth, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

B.A.A. (British Astronomical Association), Burlington House, Piccadilly, London W1VONL, Great Britain.

R.A.S.C. (Royal Astronomical Society of Canada), Head Office, 252 College Street, Toronto 130, Ontario, Canada.

BOOKS and MAGAZINES

All About Telescopes, by Sam Brown, Edmund Scientific Co., paperback.

Astronomy with Binoculars, by James Muirden, Faber Editions, paperback.

A Field Guide To The Stars and Planets, by Donald Menzel, Houghton Menzel Company, hardcover.

Modern Astronomy, c/o 18 Fairhaven Drive, Buffalo, N.Y. 14225, U.S.A. published bimonthly.

the Observer's Book of Astronomy, by Patrick Moore, Frederick Warne & Co., hardcover.

(R.A.S.C.) the Observer's Handbook, publ. annually by the R.A.S.C. paperback. Red Giants and White Dwarfs, by Robert Jastrow, A Signet Book, paperback.

The Sky Observer's Guide, by Mayall and Wyckoff, A Golden Handbook Guide, paperback.

Sky and Telescope, c/o Sky Publishishing Corp., 49-50-51 Bay State Road, Cambridge, Mass. 02138 U.S.A. published monthly.

